TUHEP-TH-12176

Computation of the p^6 order low-energy constants with tensor sources

Shao-Zhou Jiang[1],* Ying Zhang[2],† Qing Wang[3]‡§

[1]*College of Physics Science and Technology, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, P.R.China*
[2]*School of Science, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, P.R.China*
[3]*Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R.China*¶

We present the results of calculations the p^4 and p^6 order low-energy constants for the chiral Lagrangian with tensor sources for both two and three flavors pseudoscalar mesons. This is a generalization of our previous work on similar calculations without tensor sources in terms of the quark self-energy $\Sigma(p^2)$. With the help of partial integration and some epsilon relations, we find that some p^6 order operators with tensor sources appearing in the literature are related to each other. There leaves 98 independent terms for n-flavor, 92 terms for 3-flavor, and 65 terms for 2-flavor cases. We also find that the odd-intrinsic-parity chiral Lagrangian with tensor sources cannot independently exist in any order of low energy expansion.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Fe, 11.30.Rd, 12.38.Aw, 12.38.Lg

arXiv:1203.0712v2 [hep-ph] 1 Feb 2013

I. INTRODUCTION

In the low-energy region of the strong interaction, conventional perturbation theory is ineffective. If we focus on the pseudoscalar mesons (π, K, η), chiral perturbation theory provides us with an effective way to deal with the system. It can be applied not only to the strong interaction, but also to the weak and electromagnetic interactions. It was first introduced by Weinberg [1]. The idea is to expand the meson part Lagrangian in terms of powers of external momenta. Gasser and Leutwyler [2, 3] then extended it to the p^4 order, and built up the path integral formalism which enables us to compute the various Green's functions of the light-quark scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, and axial vector currents in terms of the chiral Lagrangian. The formulation was later generalized to the p^6 order. The form of the normal (or even parity) part of the p^6 order chiral Lagrangian had been obtained [4–6], and soon after the anomalous (or odd parity) parts were given [7, 8]. The latest and general review on the topic can be found in [9]. Missing in this series of work are the antisymmetric tensor currents, although this could be partly because of the fact that tensor currents do not appear in the Standard Model (SM) Lagrangian, as discussed in Ref.[10]. Research on hadron matrix elements and the study of interactions beyond SM may need these tensor currents. Further, antisymmetric tensor currents not only generate the conventional 1^{--} vector mesons, but also more exotic 1^{+-} mesons. Therefore, the studies of involving both of these and their interactions would bring in the antisymmetric currents. More importantly, for the structure of the general currents $\overline{\psi}\Gamma\psi$, the 4×4 Γ matrices generally have 16 degrees of freedoms, and one usually chooses the 16 γ-matrices $1, \gamma_5, \gamma_\mu, \gamma_\mu\gamma_5, \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ to represent these freedoms. This implies that Γ can be expanded in terms of the 16 γ-matrices, and one is used to call the currents according to their γ-matrices structures. Taking just scalar, pseudo scalar, vector and axial vector currents can not give the most general bilinear light-quark currents because of incompleteness. Adding in the tensor currents, we can get the set of the currents completely. Then the results of the Green's functions among the currents would then be general. Six years ago, the form of the chiral Lagrangian involving tensor currents had been discussed first in Ref.[10]. The results were the normal parts with tensor sources starting from p^4 order, and both the p^4 and p^6 order chiral Lagrangian with tensor sources were obtained. While the odd-intrinsic-parity parts with tensor sources were claimed to start from p^8 order. Based on these results, more progress has been made [11–13].

Within the chiral perturbation theory, if the order of the momentum expansion is increased, the number of the independent terms rises rapidly. For example, in the three flavors case, the p^4 order Lagrangian has 10 terms plus 2 contact terms, but in the p^6 order, which is 90 terms plus 3 contact terms. These independent terms generate a large number of unknown low-energy constants (LECs). A summary of numerical results for the LECs can be found in [14], which makes discussions about the high order effects of the chiral Lagrangians even more difficult and complex. In comparison with dealing with higher order chiral Lagrangian, adding tensor sources is relatively not so heavy and

---

* Email:jsz@gxu.edu.cn.
† Email:hepzhy@mail.xjtu.edu.cn.
‡ Email: wangq@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn.
§ corresponding author
¶ mailing address

realistic work is possible. Originally, LECs were fixed via the experimented data. Now, because more LECs have appeared for high orders, and sufficient experimental data is lacking, we can no longer solely rely on experiment to determine these LECs. Calculations of LECs from various models or underlying QCD have subsequently developed and become popular. Indeed, not only experimented data, but theoretical calculations are also needed. With these calculations, we can check the correctness of the models or the theory. As one of the members in the community of calculating LECs, we have calculated the p^4 order LECs in [15, 16], and then the p^6 order calculations in [17, 18], including the normal and the anomalous parts, for two and three flavors cases. In this paper, we will extend our work to the tensor sources and present all LECs up to the p^6 order.

In Euclidian space, the chiral Lagrangian includes the real and the imaginary parts. The real part is related to the even-intrinsic-parity sector, and the imaginary part is related to the odd-intrinsic-parity sector. As the tensor source terms always appear with $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$, we can use the following Eq.(1) to interchange even and odd intrinsic parity sectors.

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 = \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\lambda\rho}\ , \tag{1}$$

It implies that when calculating the tensor source parts, one needs to include both real and imaginary parts[19] [1].

This paper is organized as follows: In Section II, we review our previous calculations on the real part of the chiral Lagrangian from p^2 to p^6 order and add in the tensor sources. In Section III, we review our previous calculations on the imaginary part of the chiral Lagrangian up to p^6 order and add the tensor sources. In Section IV, we collect the differences in convention between our paper and Ref.[10] and discuss the possible dependent operators. In Section V, we give our p^4 order results with tensor sources, and Section VI presents our p^6 order results. Section VII is a summary.

II. CALCULATIONS INVOLVING THE REAL PART OF THE CHIRAL LAGRANGIAN FOR ORDER p^2 UP TO p^6 WITH TENSOR SOURCES

We have calculated the real part of chiral Lagrangian from p^2 to p^6 order without tensor sources in Ref.[17]. Using the same method, we can also deal with the tensor-source part. For convenience, we give a short introduction here, but adding in these external tensor sources.

The difference from Ref.[17] is the external tensor sources $\bar{t}^{\mu\nu}$. Adding them in the original external sources v^μ, a^μ, s, p denoted as scalar, pseudoscalar, vector and axial vector sources, we get the complete sources set as follows

$$J = \slashed{v} + \slashed{a}\gamma_5 - s + ip\gamma_5 + \sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}^{\mu\nu}. \tag{2}$$

From the original QCD, the Lagrangian can be written as the QCD Lagrangian, $\mathcal{L}^0_{\rm QCD}$, plus the external sources part, and the generating functional reads

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J] &= \int \mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\Psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi}\mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp\left\{i\int d^4x[\mathcal{L}^0_{\rm QCD} + \bar{\psi}J\psi]\right\} \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}U \exp\left\{i\int d^4x\mathcal{L}_{\rm ChPT}(U,J)\right\} = \int \mathcal{D}U e^{iS_{\rm eff}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where ψ, Ψ and A_μ are light-quark, heavy-quark and gluon fields, U is the pseudoscalar meson field, $\mathcal{L}_{\rm ChPT}$ is the chiral Lagrangian, and $S_{\rm eff}$ is the effective action. Because this form of the chiral Lagrangian is explicitly U dependent at the high momentum orders, and is hard to investigate [4, 5] due to its complex U and J structures, we are used to make the chiral rotation to simplify the Lagrangian as [15, 17, 20]

$$J_\Omega = [\Omega P_R + \Omega^\dagger P_L][J + i\slashed{\partial}][\Omega P_R + \Omega^\dagger P_L] = \slashed{v}_\Omega + \slashed{a}_\Omega\gamma_5 - s_\Omega + ip_\Omega\gamma_5 + \sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}^{\mu\nu}_\Omega\ , \tag{4}$$

$$U = \Omega^2, \qquad P_L = \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}, \qquad P_R = \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\ . \tag{5}$$

To separate the tensor sources into even and odd parities, $t^{\mu\nu}_\pm$, we need the following tensor chiral projectors as Ref.[10]

$$P_R^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} = \frac{1}{4}(g^{\mu\lambda}g^{\nu\rho} - g^{\nu\lambda}g^{\mu\rho} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}), \tag{6}$$

---

[1] In a private communication, Y.-L. Ma in Ref.[16] had already obtained similar but unpublished result in 2003.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_L^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} &= (P_R^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho})^\dagger = \frac{1}{4}(g^{\mu\lambda}g^{\nu\rho} - g^{\nu\lambda}g^{\mu\rho} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}), && (7)\\
t^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}(t_+^{\mu\nu} + t_-^{\mu\nu}), \qquad t^{\dagger\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(t_+^{\mu\nu} - t_-^{\mu\nu}), && (8)\\
\bar{t}^{\mu\nu} &= P_L^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}t_{\lambda\rho} + P_R^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}t^\dagger{}_{\lambda\rho}, && (9)\\
\sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}t_+^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{4}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}t_{-,\lambda\rho} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}(t_+^{\mu\nu} - t_-^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5) = \sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}'^{\mu\nu}, && (10)\\
\bar{t}'^{\mu\nu} &\equiv \frac{1}{2}(t_+^{\mu\nu} - t_-^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5). && (11)
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain Eq.(10), we have used the γ-matrix identity Eq.(1), and introduced $\bar{t}'^{\mu\nu}$ for calculational convenience. After this operation, our definitions have the simple relations as found in [5, 10] (see Appendix A). Using the same method as presented in [17, 20], we can obtain the effective action $S_{\rm eff}$ introduced in Eq.(3) from the first principle of QCD,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\rm eff} &= -iN_c\mathrm{Tr}\ln[i\partial\!\!\!/ + J_\Omega - \Pi_{\Omega c}] + iN_c\mathrm{Tr}\ln[i\partial\!\!\!/ + J_\Omega] - iN_c\mathrm{Tr}\ln[i\partial\!\!\!/ + J] + N_c\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_{\Omega c}\Pi_{\Omega c}^T] \qquad (12)\\
&+N_c\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\int d^4x_1\cdots d^4x_n'\frac{(-i)^n(N_cg_s^2)^{n-1}}{n!}\bar{G}^{\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_n}_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_n}(x_1,x_1',\cdots,x_n,x_n')\Phi_{\Omega c}^{\sigma_1\rho_1}(x_1,x_1')\cdots\Phi_{\Omega c}^{\sigma_n\rho_n}(x_n,x_n') + O(\frac{1}{N_c}).
\end{aligned}$$

Eq.(12) is the same as Eq.(1) in [17], but J_Ω, the external source J including currents and densities after Goldstone fields dependent chiral rotation Ω, includes the tensor sources. $\Phi_{\Omega c}$ and $\Pi_{\Omega c}$ are respectively the two-point rotated quark Green's function and the interaction part of the two-point rotated quark vertices in the presence of the external sources; $\Pi_{\Omega c}$ is defined by

$$\Phi_{\Omega c}^{\sigma\rho}(x,y) \equiv \frac{1}{N_c}\langle\overline{\psi}_\Omega^\sigma(x)\psi_\Omega^\rho(y)\rangle = -i[(i\partial\!\!\!/ + J_\Omega - \Pi_{\Omega c})^{-1}]^{\rho\sigma}(y,x), \qquad \psi_\Omega(x) \equiv [\Omega(x)P_L + \Omega^\dagger(x)P_R]\psi(x), \qquad (13)$$

with subscript $_c$ denoting the corresponding classical field. $\bar{G}^{\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_n}_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_n}(x_1,x_1',\cdots,x_n,x_n')$ is the effective gluon n-point Green's function including gluon and heavy quark contributions and g_s is the strong coupling constant of QCD. Note that the last two terms in the r.h.s. of Eq.(12) can be shown to be independent of pseudoscalar meson field U or Ω and therefore are just irrelevant constants in the effective action. While the second and third terms represent the variations of the path integral measure of the light quark field ψ. The remaining first term relies on $\Pi_{\Omega c}$. The $\Phi_{\Omega c}$ and $\Pi_{\Omega c}$ are related by the first equation of (13) and determined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\Phi_{\Omega c} + \tilde{\Xi}]^{\sigma\rho} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int d^4x_1d^4x_1'\cdots d^4x_nd^4x_n'\frac{(-i)^{n+1}(N_cg_s^2)^n}{n!}\overline{G}^{\sigma\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_n}_{\rho\rho_1\cdots\rho_n}(x,y,x_1,x_1',\cdots,x_n,x_n')\\
&\times\Phi_{\Omega c}^{\sigma_1\rho_1}(x_1,x_1')\cdots\Phi_{\Omega c}^{\sigma_n\rho_n}(x_n,x_n') = O(\frac{1}{N_c}), \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\Xi}$ is a Lagrangian multiplier which insures the constraint $\mathrm{tr}_l[\gamma_5\Phi_{\Omega c}^T(x,x)] = 0$. Eq.(14) is the Schwinger-Dyson equation (SDE) in the presence of the rotated external source. In Ref.[15], we have assumed the ansatz solution of (14) to be in the approximate form

$$\Pi_{\Omega c}^{\sigma\rho}(x,y) = [\Sigma(\overline{\nabla}_x^2)]^{\sigma\rho}\delta^4(x-y) \qquad \overline{\nabla}_x^\mu = \partial_x^\mu - iv_\Omega^\mu(x)\ , \qquad (15)$$

where Σ is the quark self-energy which satisfies the SDE (14) with vanishing rotated external source. Under the ladder approximation, this SDE in Euclidean space-time is reduced to the standard form of

$$\Sigma(p^2) - 3C_2(R)\int\frac{d^4q}{4\pi^3}\frac{\alpha_s[(p-q)^2]}{(p-q)^2}\frac{\Sigma(q^2)}{q^2+\Sigma^2(q^2)} = 0\ , \qquad (16)$$

where $C_2(R)$ is the second-order Casimir operator of the quark representation R. In our case, quarks belong the $SU(N_c)$ fundamental representation, therefore $C_2(R) = (N_c^2-1)/2N_c$, and in the large N_c limit, we will neglect the second term of it. $\alpha_s(p^2)$ is the running coupling constant of QCD which depends on N_c and the number of quark flavors. With these approximations, the action (12) of the chiral Lagrangian becomes

$$S_{\rm eff} \approx -iN_c\mathrm{Tr}\ln[i\partial\!\!\!/ + J_\Omega - \Sigma(\overline{\nabla}_x^2)] + iN_c\mathrm{Tr}\ln[i\partial\!\!\!/ + J_\Omega] - iN_c\mathrm{Tr}\ln[i\partial\!\!\!/ + J] + O(\frac{1}{N_c})\ . \qquad (17)$$

In the chiral Lagrangian, the normal part is defined as even-intrinsic-parity sector, and the anomalous part is odd-intrinsic-parity sector.We have proved that the second and the third terms in 17 only provide the contribution correlating to Wess-Zumino-Witten term. In the large N_c limit, if we do not focus on Wess-Zumino-Witten terms, we can neglect them.

$$S_{\text{eff}} \approx -iN_c \mathrm{Tr}\ln[i\not{\partial} + J_\Omega - \Sigma(\overline{\nabla}_x^2)] \tag{18}$$

Because in Minkovski space, it is not convenient to perform the computation, we perform the Wick rotation to change Eq.(17) to Euclidean Space, with the metric tensor $g^{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&x^0|_M \to -ix^4|_E, \qquad x^i|_M \to x^i|_M, \\
&\gamma^0|_M \to \gamma^4|_E, \qquad \gamma^i|_M \to i\gamma^i|_E, \qquad \gamma_5|_M \to \gamma_5|_E, \\
&s_\Omega|_M \to -s_\Omega|_E, \qquad p_\Omega|_M \to -p_\Omega|_E, \\
&\bar{t}_{\Omega,00}|_M \to -\bar{t}_{\Omega,44}|_E, \qquad \bar{t}_{\Omega,ij}|_M \to \bar{t}_{\Omega,ij}|_E, \qquad \bar{t}_{\Omega,0i}|_M \to i\bar{t}_{\Omega,4i}|_E, \qquad \bar{t}_{\Omega,i0}|_M \to i\bar{t}_{\Omega,i4}|_E.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Here $v_\Omega^\mu, a_\Omega^\mu$ transform as x^μ, whereas $\bar{t}_{\Omega,\mu\nu}$ are considering as (axial) vector-(axial) vector combined. Eq.(17) in Euclidean space is

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{eff}} &\approx N_c \mathrm{Tr}\ln[\not{\partial} + J_{\Omega,E} + \Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_x^2)] \\
&= N_c \mathrm{Tr}\ln[\not{\partial} - i\not{v}_\Omega - i\not{a}_\Omega\gamma_5 - s_\Omega + ip_\Omega\gamma_5 + \sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}_\Omega^{\prime\dagger\mu\nu} + \Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_x^2)]
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

With the help of the Schwinger proper time method [21], the real part (or equivalently even-intrinsic-parity part, or normal part) of Trln$[\cdots]$ in Euclidean space-time can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{ReTr}\ln[\not{\partial} - i\not{v}_\Omega - i\not{a}_\Omega\gamma_5 - s_\Omega + ip_\Omega\gamma_5 + \sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}_\Omega^{\prime\dagger\mu\nu} + \Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_x^2)] \\
\equiv\ & \mathrm{ReTr}\ln[D - \sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}_\Omega^{\prime\mu\nu} + \Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_x^2)] \\
=\ & \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ln\Big[[D^\dagger + \sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}_\Omega^{\prime\dagger\mu\nu} + \Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_x^2)][D + \sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}_\Omega^{\prime\mu\nu} + \Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_x^2)]\Big] \\
=\ & \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ln[O+N] \\
=\ & -\frac{1}{2}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\int_{\frac{1}{\Lambda^2}}^{\infty}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\mathrm{Tr}e^{-\tau(O+N)}(\text{remove const term}) \\
=\ & -\frac{1}{2}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\int_{\frac{1}{\Lambda^2}}^{\infty}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\int d^4x\ \mathrm{tr}_f\langle x|e^{-\tau(O+N)}|x\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$D \equiv \not{\partial} - i\not{v}_\Omega - i\not{a}_\Omega\gamma_5 - s_\Omega + ip_\Omega\gamma_5. \tag{22}$$

Where a cutoff Λ is introduced into the theory to regularize the possible ultraviolet divergences. O is the old operator without tensor sources in [17], and N is the new operator with tensor sources

$$O = [D^\dagger + \Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_x^2)][D + \Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_x^2)], \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N =\ & -\overline{\nabla}_x^\lambda\bar{t}_\Omega^{\mu\nu}\gamma^\lambda\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \bar{t}_\Omega^{\dagger\mu\nu}\overline{\nabla}_x^\lambda\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^\lambda - i\not{a}_\Omega\bar{t}_\Omega^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 - i\bar{t}_\Omega^{\dagger\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\not{a}_\Omega\gamma_5 - s_\Omega\bar{t}_\Omega^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} - \bar{t}_\Omega^{\dagger\mu\nu}s_\Omega\sigma^{\mu\nu} \\
& -ip_\Omega\bar{t}_\Omega^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 + i\bar{t}_\Omega^{\dagger\mu\nu}p_\Omega\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 + \Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_x^2)\bar{t}_\Omega^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \bar{t}_\Omega^{\dagger\mu\nu}\Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_x^2)\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \bar{t}_\Omega^{\dagger\mu\nu}\bar{t}_\Omega^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\lambda\rho}.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

If we calculate Eq.(21) directly, it is not explicitly chiral covariant for each term in the calculation. In order to recover the chiral covariant form to get the LECs, we would need to collect the relevant terms together by hand, which consumes too much time. Fortunately, we found a method keeping the chiral covariance at each step in the low-energy expansion computation[22], and used it to obtain the LECs of the real part without tensor sources succesfully[17]. We introduce it here briefly. Use the relations

$$k^\mu + i\bar{\nabla}_x^\mu = e^{i\bar{\nabla}_x\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial k}}\Big(k^\mu + \tilde{F}^\mu(\bar{\nabla}, \frac{\partial}{\partial k})\Big)e^{-i\bar{\nabla}_x\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial k}}\ , \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}^\mu =\ & \frac{1}{2}[\overline{\nabla}_x^\nu, \overline{\nabla}_x^\mu]\partial_k^\nu - \frac{i}{3}[\overline{\nabla}_x^\lambda, [\overline{\nabla}_x^\nu, \overline{\nabla}_x^\mu]]\partial_k^\lambda\partial_k^\nu - \frac{1}{8}[\overline{\nabla}_x^\rho, [\overline{\nabla}_x^\lambda, [\overline{\nabla}_x^\nu, \overline{\nabla}_x^\mu]]]\partial_k^\rho\partial_k^\lambda\partial_k^\nu \\
& + \frac{i}{30}[\overline{\nabla}_x^\sigma, [\overline{\nabla}_x^\rho, [\overline{\nabla}_x^\lambda, [\overline{\nabla}_x^\nu, \overline{\nabla}_x^\mu]]]]\partial_k^\sigma\partial_k^\rho\partial_k^\lambda\partial_k^\nu \\
& + \frac{1}{144}[\overline{\nabla}_x^\delta, [\overline{\nabla}_x^\sigma, [\overline{\nabla}_x^\rho, [\overline{\nabla}_x^\lambda, [\overline{\nabla}_x^\nu, \overline{\nabla}_x^\mu]]]]]\partial_k^\delta\partial_k^\sigma\partial_k^\rho\partial_k^\lambda\partial_k^\nu + O(p^7).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Substituting (25) into the integrand in (21), we change it to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{tr}_f\langle x|e^{-\tau(O(i\overline{\nabla}_x)+N(i\overline{\nabla}_x))}|x\rangle\\
&=\mathrm{tr}_f\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4}e^{ik'\cdot x}\langle k'|e^{-\tau(O(i\overline{\nabla}_x)+N(i\overline{\nabla}_x))}|k\rangle e^{-ik\cdot x}\\
&=\mathrm{tr}_f\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-\tau(O(k+i\overline{\nabla}_x)+N(k+i\overline{\nabla}_x))}\\
&=\mathrm{tr}_f\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-\tau(e^{i\bar{\nabla}_x\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial k}}\tilde{O}(k+i\overline{\not\nabla}_x)e^{-i\bar{\nabla}_x\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial k}}+e^{i\bar{\nabla}_x\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial k}}\tilde{N}(k+i\overline{\not\nabla}_x)e^{-i\bar{\nabla}_x\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial k}})}\\
&=\mathrm{tr}_f\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{i\bar{\nabla}_x\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial k}}e^{-\tau(\tilde{O}(k+i\overline{\not\nabla}_x)+\tilde{N}(k+i\overline{\not\nabla}_x))}e^{-i\bar{\nabla}_x\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial k}}\\
&=\mathrm{tr}_f\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-\tau(\tilde{O}(k+i\overline{\not\nabla}_x)+\tilde{N}(k+i\overline{\not\nabla}_x))}.
\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

Where $\tilde{O}$ is the original exponent without tensor sources, which can be found in Eq.(14) and (15) in Ref.[17], and $\tilde{N}$ is the new operator with tensor sources. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{N}\;=\;& i(k^\lambda+\tilde{F}^\lambda)\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\mu\nu}_\Omega\gamma^\lambda\sigma^{\mu\nu}-i\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\dagger\mu\nu}_\Omega(k^\lambda+\tilde{F}^\lambda)\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^\lambda-i\tilde{a}^\lambda_\Omega\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\mu\nu}\gamma^\lambda\sigma^{\mu\nu}_\Omega\gamma_5-i\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\dagger\mu\nu}_\Omega\tilde{a}^\lambda_\Omega\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^\lambda\gamma_5-\tilde{s}_\Omega\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\mu\nu}_\Omega\sigma^{\mu\nu}-\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\dagger\mu\nu}_\Omega\tilde{s}_\Omega\sigma^{\mu\nu}\\
&-i\tilde{p}_\Omega\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\mu\nu}_\Omega\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5+i\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\dagger\mu\nu}_\Omega\tilde{p}_\Omega\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5+\Sigma([k^\mu+\tilde{F}^\mu]^2)\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\mu\nu}_\Omega\sigma^{\mu\nu}+\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\dagger\mu\nu}_\Omega\Sigma([k^\mu+\tilde{F}^\mu]^2)\sigma^{\mu\nu}+\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\dagger\mu\nu}_\Omega\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\lambda\rho}_\Omega\sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\lambda\rho},
\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\;=\;&\mathcal{O}-i[\overline{\nabla}^\nu_x,\mathcal{O}]\partial^\nu_k-\frac{1}{2}[\overline{\nabla}^\lambda_x,[\overline{\nabla}^\nu_x,\mathcal{O}]]\partial^\nu_k\partial^\lambda_k+\frac{i}{6}[\overline{\nabla}^\rho_x,[\overline{\nabla}^\lambda_x,[\overline{\nabla}^\nu_x,\mathcal{O}]]]\partial^\nu_k\partial^\lambda_k\partial^\rho_k\\
&+\frac{1}{24}[\overline{\nabla}^\sigma_x,[\overline{\nabla}^\rho_x,[\overline{\nabla}^\lambda_x,[\overline{\nabla}^\nu_x,\mathcal{O}]]]]\partial^\sigma_k\partial^\nu_k\partial^\lambda_k\partial^\rho_k-\frac{i}{120}[\overline{\nabla}^\delta_x,[\overline{\nabla}^\sigma_x,[\overline{\nabla}^\rho_x,[\overline{\nabla}^\lambda_x,[\overline{\nabla}^\nu_x,\mathcal{O}]]]]]\partial^\delta_k\partial^\sigma_k\partial^\nu_k\partial^\lambda_k\partial^\rho_k+O(p^7).
\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

Where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\equiv(\tilde{a}^\mu,\tilde{s},\tilde{p},\tilde{\bar{t}}^{\alpha\beta})^T$ and $\mathcal{O}\equiv(a^\mu_\Omega,s_\Omega,p_\Omega,\bar{t}'^{\alpha\beta}_\Omega)^T$. With Eq.(21) and (27), we get

$$\mathrm{ReTr}\ln[\not\partial-i\not v_\Omega-i\not a_\Omega\gamma_5-s_\Omega+ip_\Omega\gamma_5+\sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}^{\mu\nu}_\Omega+\Sigma(-\bar{\nabla}^2)]=-\frac{1}{2}\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\int_{\frac{1}{\Lambda^2}}^\infty\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\int d^4x\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\mathrm{tr}\;e^{B+B_t}\cdot 1\,.\tag{30}$$

B can be found in Eq.(17) of Ref.[17], and $B_t=-\tau\tilde{N}$. Expanding Eq.(30) in powers of momentum, theoretically, we can get all orders of the chiral Lagrangian. Before giving our result, we need to discuss the difference between our paper and Ref.[10], this will be done in Sec. IV.

III. CALCULATIONS INVOLVING THE IMAGINARY PARTS OF LECS FOR ORDERS p^2 UP TO p^6 WITH TENSOR SOURCES

Because of Eq.(1) or equivalently (39) below in the next section, all the odd-intrinsic-parity sector of the chiral Lagrangian can be changed to the even-intrinsic-parity sector. In Euclidean space, the odd-intrinsic-parity sector belong to the imaginary parts. In other words, in Euclidean space, we can interchange the imaginary and real tensor source dependent parts. But in this section, we also call the parts without using Eq.(1) imaginary parts. Now we deal with the imaginary parts of Eq.(20) as a compensation of real parts discussion in Sec.II. We have calculated the p^6 order imaginary part's LECs without tensor sources in Ref.[18]. Using the same method, we can also compute the contributions involving the tensor-source part. We repeat the process here, adding the tensor source.

First, to confirm the Wess-Zumino-Witten terms, we need to introduce a fifth dimension integral. We now write Ω, in Eq.(4), as $\Omega=e^{-i\beta}$ and further introduce a parameter t-dependent rotation element $\Omega(t)=e^{-i\beta t}$. With the help of relations $\Omega(1)=\Omega$ and $\Omega(0)=1$, Eq.(20) becomes

$$S_{\mathrm{eff}}[U(t),J(t)]\approx N_c\mathrm{Tr}\ln[\not\partial+J_{\Omega(t)}+\Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}^2_t)]_{t=1},\tag{31}$$

with $\overline{\nabla}^\mu_t=\partial^\mu-iv^\mu_{\Omega(t)}$. $J_{\Omega(t)}$ is J_Ω with Ω replaced by $\Omega(t)$.

Second, because we only focus on the meson terms, adding in an extra pure source makes no sense for the results. We insert an extra pure source term, setting $t=0$ in (31), with the help of

$$\frac{\partial J_{\Omega(t)}}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}U^\dagger_t\gamma_5,\not\partial+J_{\Omega(t)}\right]_+,\qquad U_t=\Omega^2(t),\tag{32}$$

we can further proceed to express the chiral Lagrangian in terms of an integration over the parameter t.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{eff}}[U(t),J(t)] &= N_c \mathrm{Tr}\ln[\not{\partial} + J_{\Omega(t)} + \Sigma(-\overline{\nabla}_t^2)]_{t=0,\Sigma \text{ dependent}}^{t=1} \\
&= N_c \int_0^1 dt\ \frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{Tr}\ln[\not{\partial} + J_{\Omega(t)} + \Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)]\bigg|_{\Sigma \text{ dependent}} \\
&= N_c \int_0^1 dt\ \mathrm{Tr}\bigg[\bigg[\frac{\partial J_{\Omega(t)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)}{\partial t}\bigg][\not{\partial} + J_{\Omega(t)} + \Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)]^{-1}\bigg]_{\Sigma \text{ dependent}} \\
&= N_c \int_0^1 dt\ \mathrm{Tr}\bigg[\bigg(\frac{1}{2}\bigg[\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\gamma_5, \not{\partial} + J_{\Omega(t)}\bigg]_+ + \frac{\partial \Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)}{\partial t}\bigg)[\not{\partial} + J_{\Omega(t)} + \Sigma(-\nabla_t^2)]^{-1}\bigg]_{\Sigma \text{ dependent}} . \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

We only need to remain the Σ-dependent terms in Eq.(33), because the Σ-independent terms are related to the contact terms[17].

Finally, as in [18], expanding (33) to p^4 order, we can get the Wess-Zumino-Witten term and terms related to the tensor source. Further more, to the p^6 order including the tensor source, we can get the imaginary part we need. The particular calculation after expanding (33) will be introduced in Sec.V B.

IV. CONVENTION DIFFERENCES AND INDEPENDENT OPERATORS

To accord with our original results and for computational convenience, we make the following changes in this paper.

- To match Ref.[5] and our original results in Ref.[17], we define

  $$\chi_{\pm,\mu} = \nabla_\mu \chi_\pm - \frac{i}{2}\{\chi_\mp, u_\mu\}, \quad (34)$$

  comparing this with

  $$\chi_{\pm,\mu} = \nabla_\mu \chi_\pm, \quad (35)$$

  of Ref.[10]. Here χ_+, χ_- and u_μ are analogous to s_Ω, p_Ω and a_Ω in our notation (see details in Appendix A).

- To match the coefficients' dimensions for a given order, i.e., all the coefficients in a given order have the same dimensions, we change $t_\pm^{\mu\nu}$ in Table 2 in [10] to $B_0 t_\pm^{\mu\nu}$, the analog of $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ defined in [10]. But [10] times b_0, a parameter is the analog of B_0 for tensor fields. Because b_0 is hard to calculate, we use B_0 instead of b_0. Now, all the coefficients in the p^4 order are dimensionless, whereas in the p^6 order, their dimensions are GeV^{-2}.

- Via partial integration and the application of the equations of motion, Y_{115} and Y_{116} are not independent. We list the new relations in Appendix B.

- Ref.[10] does not consider the epsilon relations

  $$\begin{aligned}
  \epsilon^{\lambda\rho\delta\mu}\epsilon_{\lambda\rho\delta}{}^{\nu} &= -6g^{\mu\nu}, & (36)\\
  \epsilon^{\sigma\delta\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\sigma\delta}{}^{\lambda\rho} &= -2g^{\mu\lambda}g^{\nu\rho} + 2g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\lambda}, & (37)\\
  \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\lambda}\epsilon_{\alpha}{}^{\rho\sigma\delta} &= -g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma}g^{\lambda\delta} + g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\delta}g^{\lambda\sigma} - g^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\delta}g^{\lambda\rho} \\
  &\quad + g^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\rho}g^{\lambda\delta} - g^{\mu\delta}g^{\nu\rho}g^{\lambda\sigma} + g^{\mu\delta}g^{\nu\sigma}g^{\lambda\rho}. & (38)
  \end{aligned}$$

  Combining with Eq.(5.3) in [10],

  $$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}t_\pm^{\alpha\beta} = 2it_{\mp,\mu\nu}, \quad (39)$$

  one can reduce $t_-t_- \to t_+t_+$ and $t_-t_+ \to t_+t_-$, i.e., changing even t_- to the corresponding t_+, and exchanging the order of t_+ and t_-. For example

  $$t_-^{\mu\nu}t_{-,\mu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\nu}{}_{\lambda}t_+^{\mu\rho}t_{+,\mu\rho} - t_{+}^{\mu}{}_{\lambda}t_{+,\mu}{}^{\nu}. \quad (40)$$

Hence even t_- terms and some $\langle\cdots t_-\cdots t_+\cdots\rangle$ [2] terms are not independent. We substitute the epsilon relations in $Y_i, i = 23-30, 53, 56, 81, 83, 89, 91, 93, 104, 105, 109-111, 118, 119$, finding that most terms lead to new relations. We list all the new relations in Appendix B. All the terms in the l.h.s of (B1) are considered to be dependent, and reducible. We find that totally, there are 22 additional dependent operators in the n flavors case, 21 in 3 flavors and 13 in 2 flavors cases, leaving 98 independent operators for n flavors, 92 for 3 flavors, and 65 for 2 flavors. In Section IV of Ref.[17], we found the result that without quark self-energy, all the coefficients, except contact terms, must vanish. Now in the present work, if we similarly ignore the quark self-energy, without relation (36)-(39), we can not obtain these zero results. Instead, with relations (36)-(39), we do reproduce the vanishing result. This shows the importance of relations (36)-(39) in the computation.

- Also, with (36)-(39), adding (41)

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\epsilon^{\mu'\nu'\lambda'\rho'} = -\det(g^{\alpha\alpha'}), \qquad \alpha=\mu,\nu,\lambda,\rho \qquad \alpha'=\mu',\nu',\lambda',\rho', \tag{41}$$

one can remove all epsilons for the tensor source terms in the chiral Lagrangian as following. First, even epsilons can be changed to $g_{\mu\nu}$, and odd epsilon can be reduce to one. Second, in one-epsilon terms, one can change t_+ to t_- or t_- to t_+ with the help of (39), leaving only two epsilon. Finally, using (36)-(38) and (41), all the epsilon can be removed. In other words, there does not exist the odd-intrinsic-parity parts with tensor sources at any order of the low energy expansion.

V. THE p^4 ORDER CHIRAL LAGRANGIAN WITH TENSOR SOURCES

A. real part

With the same method as used in Ref.[17], we can expand the exponent in Eq.(30) to the order p^4. Ref.[10] had given us the p^4 order Lagrangian of form

$$\mathcal{L}_{4,t} = \Lambda_1\langle t_+^{\mu\nu}f_{+\mu\nu}\rangle - i\Lambda_2\langle t_+^{\mu\nu}u_\mu u_\nu\rangle + \Lambda_3\langle t_+^{\mu\nu}t^+_{\mu\nu}\rangle + \Lambda_4\langle t_+^{\mu\nu}\rangle^2 \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{4}\Lambda_n X_n. \tag{42}$$

Considering that our computation is done under the large N_c limit, if we only expand Eq.(30), but do not consider the equations of motion,

$$\nabla_\mu u^\mu = \frac{i}{2}\left(\chi_- - \frac{\langle\chi_-\rangle}{N_f}\right), \tag{43}$$

terms in the chiral Lagrangian with two and more traces vanish. To avoid unnecessary complications, in this paper we retain in the calculation only those terms with a single trace

$$\mathcal{L}_{4,n,t} = \lambda_1\mathrm{tr}_f[t_{+,\Omega,\mu\nu}t^{\mu\nu}_{+,\Omega}] + i\lambda_2\mathrm{tr}_f[a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}t^{\mu\nu}_{+,\Omega}] + \lambda_3\mathrm{tr}_f[V_{\Omega,\mu\nu}t^{\mu\nu}_{+,\Omega}] + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{3}\lambda_n x_n + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right). \tag{44}$$

Expanding Eq.(30), we get the analytic results as

$$\lambda_1 = N_C\int_{\frac{1}{\Lambda^2}}^{\infty}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-\tau(k^2+\Sigma_k^2)}(-2\tau^2\Sigma_k^2), \tag{45}$$

$$\lambda_2 = N_C\int_{\frac{1}{\Lambda^2}}^{\infty}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-\tau(k^2+\Sigma_k^2)}(-12\tau^2\Sigma_k + 4\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k + 8\tau^3\Sigma_k^3), \tag{46}$$

$$\lambda_3 = N_C\int_{\frac{1}{\Lambda^2}}^{\infty}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-\tau(k^2+\Sigma_k^2)}(2\tau^2\Sigma_k - 2\tau^2k^2\Sigma'_k), \tag{47}$$

---

[2] To avoid confusion of our notation with that used in Ref.[10], and for more convenience both in calculation and displaying results, we use both $\mathrm{tr}_f[\cdots]$ in the calculation and $\langle\cdots\rangle$ in the result to represent the tracing over flavor indices.

and the relations between λ_n and Λ_n are

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_1 &= \frac{1}{2B_0}\lambda_3, &(48)\\ \Lambda_2 &= -\frac{1}{4B_0}\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2B_0}\lambda_3, &(49)\\ \Lambda_3 &= \frac{1}{B_0^2}\lambda_1, &(50)\\ \Lambda_4 &= 0. &(51)\end{aligned}$$

The numerical results are listed in the second and sixth column in Table II.

B. Imaginary part

As in Ref.[18], we can expand the exponent in Eq.(33) to the p^4 order. Using (39), we change $t_\pm$ to $t_\mp$ and absorb the ϵ factors, and finally, get the results

$$\mathcal{L}_{4,i,t} = \sum_{n=1}^{8} z_n \bar{o}_n. \tag{52}$$

The terms $\bar{o}_n$ are listed in Table I, and z_n are listed in Eq (53).

TABLE I: The obtained operators of the p^4 order.

n	$\bar{o}_n$
1	$\langle \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger t^{\mu\nu}_{-,t} a^\mu_t a^\nu_t + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger a^\mu_t a^\nu_t t^{\mu\nu}_{-,t} \rangle$
2	$\langle \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger a^\mu_t t^{\mu\nu}_{-,t} a^\nu_t \rangle$
3	$\langle \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger a^\mu_t t^{\mu\nu}_{+,t} \overline{\nabla}^\nu_t - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger \overline{\nabla}^\mu_t t^{\mu\nu}_{+,t} a^\nu_t \rangle$
4	$\langle \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger \overline{\nabla}^\mu_t t^{\mu\nu}_{-,t} \overline{\nabla}^\nu_t \rangle$
5	$\langle \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger t^{\mu\nu}_{-,t} \overline{\nabla}^\mu_t \overline{\nabla}^\nu_t + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger \overline{\nabla}^\mu_t \overline{\nabla}^\nu_t t^{\mu\nu}_{-,t} \rangle$
6	$\langle \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger t^{\mu\nu}_{+,t} a^\mu_t \overline{\nabla}^\nu_t - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger \overline{\nabla}^\mu_t a^\nu_t t^{\mu\nu}_{+,t} \rangle$
7	$\langle \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger t^{\mu\nu}_{+,t} \overline{\nabla}^\mu_t a^\nu_t - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger a^\mu_t \overline{\nabla}^\nu_t t^{\mu\nu}_{+,t} \rangle$
8	$\langle \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger t^{\mu\nu}_{-,t} t^{\mu\nu}_{+,t} + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t} U_t^\dagger t^{\mu\nu}_{+,t} t^{\mu\nu}_{-,t} \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1 &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} (2\Sigma_k X^2 - 4\Sigma_k^3 X^3),\\
z_2 &= 0,\\
z_3 &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} (2i\Sigma'_k X - 2i\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma'_k X^2 - 4i\Sigma_k^3 X^3),\\
z_4 &= 0,\\
z_5 &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} (-2\Sigma_k X^2 + 2\Sigma'_k X - 2\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma'_k X^2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_6 &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}(-2i\Sigma_k X^2 + 4i\Sigma_k^3 X^3),\\
z_7 &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}(-2i\Sigma_k X^2 + 2i\Sigma_k' X - 2i\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k' X^2),\\
z_8 &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}(2i\Sigma_k^2 X^2),
\end{aligned}
\tag{53}
$$

where $X \equiv 1/(k^2+\Sigma_k^2)$. Theoretically, we can integrate (52) to confirm (42), but it is too hard to do the integral, and even worse to extend to the p^6 order. Oppositely, we differentiate (42) to compare with Eq.(52). With the relation in Table VI, X_n in Eq.(42) can be represented by Ω fields denoted in Eq.(4). Introducing a parameter t as in Eq.(31), we change $X_n \to X_n(t)$, and $X_n = X_n(1)$. Under the large N_C limit, our results only relate to the one-trace terms $X_{1,2,3}$. With the help of Eq(54), we can differentiate one single trace terms $X_{1,2,3}(t)$ to get Eq.(55).

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial a_t^\mu}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{2}[\nabla_t^\mu \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger \nabla_t^\mu] \qquad \frac{\partial v_t^\mu}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}[a_t^\mu \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger a_t^\mu]\\
&\frac{\partial s_t}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{2}[p_t \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger p_t] \qquad \frac{\partial p_t}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{2}[s_t \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger s_t]\\
&\frac{\partial d^\mu a_t^\nu}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{2}[(\nabla_t^\mu\nabla_t^\nu + a_t^\nu a_t^\mu)\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger - \nabla_t^\nu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\mu - \nabla_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\nu - a_t^\nu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger a_t^\mu - a_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger a_t^\nu + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger(\nabla_t^\nu\nabla_t^\mu + a_t^\mu a_t^\nu)]\\
&\frac{\partial V_t^{\mu\nu}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}[-\nabla_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger a_t^\nu + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\mu a_t^\nu - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger d_t^\mu a_t^\nu + d_t^\mu a_t^\nu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger + a_t^\nu\nabla_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger - a_t^\nu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\mu\\
&\qquad +\nabla_t^\nu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger a_t^\mu - \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\nu a_t^\mu + \frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger d_t^\nu a_t^\mu - d_t^\nu a_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger - a_t^\mu\nabla_t^\nu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger + a_t^\mu\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger\nabla_t^\nu]\\
&\frac{\partial t_+^{\mu\nu}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger t_{-,t}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}t_{-,t}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger \qquad \frac{\partial t_-^{\mu\nu}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger t_{+,t}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}t_{+,t}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial U_t}{\partial t}U_t^\dagger,
\end{aligned}
\tag{54}
$$

$$
(X_{1,t}, X_{2,t}, X_{3,t})^T = A_4(\bar{o}_1, \bar{o}_2, \bar{o}_3, \bar{o}_4, \bar{o}_5, \bar{o}_6, \bar{o}_7)^T, \qquad X_{i,t} = dX_i(t)/dt, \tag{55}
$$

$$
A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 2i & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2i & 2 & 2 & 0\\ 2i & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{56}
$$

Combined with Eq.(42),(52),(55),(56), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3)(X_{1,t}, X_{2,t}, X_{3,t})^T = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3)A_4(\bar{o}_1, \bar{o}_2, \bar{o}_3, \bar{o}_4, \bar{o}_5, \bar{o}_6, \bar{o}_7, \bar{o}_8)^T\\
&= \ (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8)(\bar{o}_1, \bar{o}_2, \bar{o}_3, \bar{o}_4, \bar{o}_5, \bar{o}_6, \bar{o}_7, \bar{o}_8)^T \qquad (57)\\
&\Rightarrow \ (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3)A_4 = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8). \qquad (58)
\end{aligned}
$$

In Eq.(58), z_i and A_4 are gotten, they are 8 linear equations with 3 unknown variables. Calculating the reduced row echelon form of A_4, we get

$$
A_4' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -i & -i & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -i & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{59}
$$

We choose $\bar{o}_{1,3,8}$ as independent operators to calculate Λ_n, leaving the other 5 equations as strict constraints. The analytical results are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1 &= \frac{N_C}{B_0}\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[\Sigma_k' X - \Sigma_k X^2 - \Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k' X^2\Big],\\
\Lambda_2 &= \frac{N_C}{B_0}\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\Sigma_k' X + \Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k' X^2 + 2\Sigma_k^3 X^3\Big],\\
\Lambda_3 &= \frac{N_C}{B_0^2}\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-2\Sigma_k^2 X^2\Big],\\
\Lambda_4 &= 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{60}
$$

In Eq.(60), we find that unlike those terms without tensors, which result in a fifth dimension integral as Wess-Zumino-Witten terms, the tensor source terms can be fully worked out in the fourth dimension for the p^4 order. The numerical results are listed in the third and seventh column in Table II

C. numerical results

In Table II, we list our p^4 order LECs with tensor sources for cutoff $\Lambda = 1000^{+100}_{-100}$MeV in Eq.(21). The 10% variation of the cutoff is considered in our calculation to examine the effects of cutoff dependence and the result change can be treat as the error of our calculations. The results are taken the values at $\Lambda = 1$GeV. The superscript is the difference caused at $\Lambda = 1.1$GeV and the subscript is the difference caused at $\Lambda = 0.9$GeV, i.e.[3],

$$\Lambda_{n,\Lambda=1\text{GeV}}\Big|^{\Lambda_{n,\Lambda=1.1\text{GeV}}-\Lambda_{n,\Lambda=1\text{GeV}}}_{\Lambda_{n,\Lambda=0.9\text{GeV}}-\Lambda_{n,\Lambda=1\text{GeV}}} . \tag{61}$$

The LECs include three and two flavors both from the real part, $\Lambda_{r,n}$, and the imaginary part, $\Lambda_{i,n}$. We also list the sum $\Lambda_n = \Lambda_{r,n} + \Lambda_{i,n}$.

TABLE II: The obtained values of the p^4 order coefficients. The definition has some difference from [10]. The details can be found in Section IV. $\Lambda_{r,n}$ come from the real part, and $\Lambda_{i,n}$ come from the imaginary part, Λ_n are their sum.

$N_f = 3$				$N_f = 2$			
n	$10^3\Lambda_{r,n}$	$10^3\Lambda_{i,n}$	$10^3\Lambda_n$	m	$10^3\Lambda_{r,m}$	$10^3\Lambda_{i,m}$	$10^3\Lambda_m$
1	$-12.89^{+0.76}_{-0.89}$	$-15.24^{+1.47}_{-2.16}$	$-28.13^{+2.22}_{-3.05}$	1	$-12.70^{+0.76}_{-0.89}$	$-15.13^{+1.49}_{-2.20}$	$-27.83^{+2.26}_{-3.10}$
2	$11.59^{-1.06}_{+1.49}$	$11.74^{-1.13}_{+1.66}$	$23.33^{-2.19}_{+3.15}$	2	$11.38^{-1.06}_{+1.50}$	$11.53^{-1.14}_{+1.68}$	$22.91^{-2.20}_{+3.17}$
3	$-4.05^{+0.64}_{-0.97}$	$-4.45^{+0.82}_{-1.35}$	$-8.50^{+1.46}_{-2.32}$	3	$-3.90^{+0.63}_{-0.95}$	$-4.30^{+0.81}_{-1.34}$	$-8.20^{+1.44}_{-2.30}$
4	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	4	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$

To compare with our original results, the parameters we use to get Table II are the same as Ref.[17, 18] [4]. We choose the running coupling constant from Ref.[23] to solve Eq.(16), and get the same quark self-energy as Fig. 2 in Ref.[15], but adding two-flavor case. Except for the quark self-energy, we need another input parameter F_0, the p^2 order coefficient in the chiral Lagrangian. We set $F_0 = 87$MeV to get F_π of about 93MeV [17].

VI. THE p^6 ORDER CHIRAL LAGRANGIAN WITH TENSOR SOURCES

A. Real part

Continuing our process in Section V, we can obtain the p^6 order results directly. Before listing our results, we first introduce the existing results. Ref.[10] gave the p^6 order Lagrangian as follows,

$$S_{\text{eff}}|_{p^6,\text{tensor sources}} = \int d^4x \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{117} K_n^T Y_n + 3 \text{ contact terms} \quad n \text{ flavors} \\ \displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{110} C_n^T O_n + 3 \text{ contact terms} \quad \text{three flavors} \\ \displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{75} c_n^T P_n + 3 \text{ contact terms} \quad \text{two flavors} \end{cases} . \tag{62}$$

Here, we use the notation Y_n, O_n, P_n to denote n, three and two flavors' independent monomials, which can be found in Table 2 in Ref.[10], and K_n^T, C_n^T, c_n^T for their coefficients. For reasons in Section IV and Appendix B, some of them are not independent. But we use the same numbers. If one monomial is not independent, we just neglect it.

---

[3] Notice that Λ with subscript n, Λ_n, means the p^4 order coefficients in Eq.(42), but Λ without subscript is the cutoff in our calculation introduced in Eq.(21)

[4] In order to match the $N_f = 2$ result, we choose a heavy quark number = 4 here, instead of = 2 in Ref.[17, 18]. (Heavy-quark fields are integrated out and absorbed into effective gluon propagator)

In our calculation, expanding Eq.(21) as the p^4 order, we only get one trace terms without the equation of motion

$$S_{\rm eff}|_{p^6,\text{tensor sources}}=\int d^4x\bigg[\sum_{n=1}^{77}\mathcal{Z}_n^T\mathrm{tr}_f[\bar{O}_n]+O\bigg(\frac{1}{N_c}\bigg)\bigg]. \tag{63}$$

The terms $\bar{O}_n$ are the p^6 order operators we could obtained from our calculation, and $\mathcal{Z}_n^T$ are the corresponding coefficients. For those operators with more than one derivative, for example $\bar{O}_{66}=d_\mu V_{\Omega\ \nu}^{\mu}d_\lambda t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$, the derivatives are arranged in such a way that each $V_{\Omega,\mu}{}^{\nu}$ and $t_{+,\Omega,\nu\lambda}$ has a derivative and we do not put two derivatives in one operator. We list all operators in Table III. With the help of a computer, we can get the coefficients $\mathcal{Z}_n^T$, listed in Appendix C. Making use of Table VI, relations for our coefficients $\mathcal{Z}_n^T$ and K_n^T, listed in Appendix D can be obtained directly. Combining Appendix B, C, D and using the parameters in Section V C, we obtain both two and three flavors numerical results, and list them in the second and sixth column in Table V.

TABLE III: The p^6 order operators $\bar{O}_n$

n	O_n	n	O_n	n	O_n
1	$i\{a_{\Omega,\mu}a_\Omega^\mu,a_{\Omega,\nu}a_{\Omega,\lambda}\}t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$	27	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}[d_\nu a_{\Omega,\lambda},d^\mu t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}]$	53	$iV_{\Omega,\mu\nu}\{d_\lambda a_\Omega^\mu,t_{\Omega,-}^{\nu\lambda}\}$
2	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}(a_\Omega^\mu a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}+a_{\Omega,\lambda}a_\Omega^\nu t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda})$	28	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}[d_\nu a_{\Omega,\lambda},d^\nu t_{\Omega,+}^{\mu\lambda}]$	54	$iV_{\Omega,\mu\nu}\{d_\lambda a_\Omega^\lambda,t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}\}$
3	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}a_\Omega^\nu a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}$	29	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}[d_\nu a_{\Omega,\lambda},d^\lambda t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}]$	55	$s_\Omega[d_\mu a_{\Omega,\nu},t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}]$
4	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}a_{\Omega,\lambda}a_\Omega^\mu t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$	30	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}\{d^\nu t_{+,\Omega,\nu\lambda},t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}\}$	56	$ip_\Omega[t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\nu},d_\mu a_{\Omega,\nu}]$
5	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_\Omega^\mu[d_\nu a_{\Omega,\lambda},t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}]$	31	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}\{d_\nu t_{+,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu},t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}\}$	57	$iV_{\Omega,\mu\nu}V_{\Omega\ \lambda}^{\mu}t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
6	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}(d^\mu a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}-t_{-,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu}d^\nu a_\Omega^\lambda)$	32	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}\{d^\mu t_{+,\Omega,\nu\lambda},t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}\}$	58	$iV_{\Omega,\mu\nu}t_{+,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu}t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
7	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}(d^\nu a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}-t_{-,\Omega_\lambda}^{\nu}d^\mu a_\Omega^\lambda)$	33	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}\{t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\nu},s_\Omega\}$	59	$iV_{\Omega,\mu\nu}t_{-,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu}t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
8	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}(d_\lambda a_\Omega^\mu t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}-t_{-,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu}d^\lambda a_\Omega^\nu)$	34	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}s_\Omega a_{\Omega,\nu}t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}$	60	$iV_{\Omega,\mu\nu}\{p_\Omega,t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}\}$
9	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}(d_\lambda a_\Omega^\nu t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}-t_{-\Omega_\lambda}^{\nu}d^\lambda a_\Omega^\mu)$	35	$id_\mu a_\Omega^\mu[d_\mu a_{\Omega,\lambda},t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}]$	61	$V_{\Omega,\mu\nu}\{t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\nu},s_\Omega\}$
10	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}\{d_\lambda a_\Omega^\lambda,t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}\}$	36	$id_\mu a_{\Omega,\nu}d^\mu a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$	62	$it_{\Omega,\mu\nu}t_{+,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu}t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
11	$a_{\Omega,\mu}(d^\mu a_{\Omega,\nu}a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}+d_\nu a_{\Omega,\lambda}a_\Omega^\nu t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda})$	37	$id_\mu a_{\Omega,\nu}[d^\nu a_{\Omega,\lambda},t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}]$	63	$it_{\Omega,\mu\nu}t_{-,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu}t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
12	$a_{\Omega,\mu}(d_\nu a_\Omega^\mu a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}+d_\nu a_{\Omega,\lambda}a_\Omega^\lambda t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu})$	38	$id_\mu a_{\Omega,\nu}d_\lambda a_\Omega^\nu t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}$	64	$s_\Omega t_{+,\Omega,\mu\nu}t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}$
13	$a_{\Omega,\mu}d_\nu a_\Omega^\nu a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}$	39	$V_{\Omega,\mu\nu}(a_\Omega^\mu a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}-t_{+,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu}a_\Omega^\lambda a_\Omega^\nu)$	65	$s_\Omega t_{-,\Omega,\mu\nu}t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}$
14	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_\Omega^\mu t_{+,\Omega,\nu\lambda}t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$	40	$V_{\Omega,\mu\nu}(a_{\Omega,\lambda}a_\Omega^\mu t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}-t_{+,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu}a_\Omega^\nu a_\Omega^\lambda)$	66	$d_\mu V_{\Omega\ \nu}^{\mu}d_\lambda t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
15	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}t_{+,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu}t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$	41	$V_{\Omega,\mu\nu}\{a_{\Omega,\lambda}a_\Omega^\lambda,t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}\}$	67	$d_\mu V_{\Omega,\nu\lambda}d^\mu t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
16	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}t_{+,\Omega_\lambda}^{\nu}t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}$	42	$V_{\Omega,\mu\nu}(a_\Omega^\mu t_{+,\Omega_\lambda}^{\nu}a_\Omega^\lambda-a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}a_\Omega^\nu)$	68	$d_\mu V_{\Omega,\nu\lambda}d^\nu t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\mu\lambda}$
17	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_\Omega^\mu t_{-,\Omega,\nu\lambda}t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$	43	$V_{\Omega,\mu\nu}a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}a_\Omega^\lambda$	69	$d_\mu t_{+,\Omega_\nu}^{\mu}d_\lambda t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
18	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}t_{-,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu}t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$	44	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}[t_{+,\Omega_\nu}^{\mu},d^\nu p_\Omega]$	70	$d_\mu t_{+,\Omega,\nu\lambda}d^\mu t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
19	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}t_{-,\Omega_\lambda}^{\nu}t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}$	45	$a_{\Omega,\mu}[t_{-,\Omega_\nu}^{\mu},d^\nu s_\Omega]$	71	$d_\mu t_{+,\Omega,\nu\lambda}d^\nu t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}$
20	$a_{\Omega,\mu}(t_{+,\Omega_\nu}^{\mu}a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}-t_{\Omega,\nu\lambda}a_\Omega^\nu t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda})$	46	$id_\mu a_\Omega^\mu\{t_{-,\Omega,\nu\lambda},t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}\}$	72	$d_\mu t_{-,\Omega_\nu}^{\mu}d_\lambda t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
21	$a_{\Omega,\mu}t_{+,\Omega,\nu\lambda}a_\Omega^\mu t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$	47	$id_\mu a_{\Omega,\nu}\{t_{-,\Omega_\lambda}^{\mu},t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}\}$	73	$d_\mu t_{-,\Omega,\nu\lambda}d^\mu t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$
22	$a_{\Omega,\mu}(t_{-,\Omega_\nu}^{\mu}a_{\Omega,\lambda}t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}-t_{\Omega,\nu\lambda}a_\Omega^\nu t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda})$	48	$id_\mu a_{\Omega,\nu}\{t_{-,\Omega_\lambda}^{\nu},t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}\}$	74	$d_\mu t_{-,\Omega,\nu\lambda}d^\nu t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}$
23	$a_{\Omega,\mu}t_{-,\Omega,\nu\lambda}a_\Omega^\mu t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}$	49	$iV_{\Omega,\mu\nu}\{a_\Omega^\mu,d_\lambda t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}\}$	75	$a_{\Omega,\mu}a_{\Omega,\nu}\{t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu},p_\Omega\}$
24	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}[d^\mu a_{\Omega,\nu}d_\lambda,t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}]$	50	$iV_{\Omega,\mu\nu}\{a_{\Omega,\lambda},d^\mu t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}\}$	76	$a_{\Omega,\mu}p_\Omega a_{\Omega,\nu}t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}$
25	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}[d_\nu a_\Omega^\mu,d_\lambda t_{+,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}]$	51	$iV_{\Omega,\mu\nu}\{a_{\Omega,\lambda},d^\lambda t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}\}$	77	$ip_\Omega\{t_{+,\Omega\mu\nu},t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}\}$
26	$ia_{\Omega,\mu}[d_\nu a_\Omega^\nu,d_\lambda t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\lambda}]$	52	$iV_{\Omega,\mu\nu}\{d^\mu a_{\Omega,\lambda},t_{-,\Omega}^{\nu\lambda}\}$		

B. Imaginary part

As Section V B, continuing our process to the p^6 order, we can derive the p^6 order LECs too. In the large N_C limits, without using the equations of motion, and removing the contact terms, we get 56 independent terms, $\widetilde{O}_n^{T,W}$, and list them in Table IV. We also list their relation to Y_i of Ref.[10]. As in Eq.(44), in p^6 order, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{6,i,t}=\sum_{n=1}^{56}\widetilde{K}_n^{T,W}\widetilde{O}_n^{T,W}. \tag{64}$$

TABLE IV: The p^6 order operators in the large N_C limits, $\bar{O}_n^{T,W}$

n	$\bar{O}_n^{T,W}$	Y_i	n	$\bar{O}_n^{T,W}$	Y_i
1	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}\{u_\lambda u^\lambda, u^\mu u^\nu\}\rangle/B_0$	Y_1/B_0	29	$\langle t_{-\mu\nu}[f_-^{\mu\nu}, u_\lambda u^\lambda]\rangle/B_0$	Y_{69}/B_0
2	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}u^\lambda u^\mu u^\nu u_\lambda\rangle/B_0$	Y_2/B_0	30	$\langle t_{-\mu\nu}(f_-^{\mu\lambda}u^\nu u_\lambda - u_\lambda u^\nu f_-^{\mu\lambda})\rangle/B_0$	Y_{70}/B_0
3	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}u^\mu u_\lambda u^\lambda u^\nu\rangle/B_0$	Y_3/B_0	31	$\langle t_{-\mu\nu}(f_-^{\mu\lambda}u_\lambda u^\nu - u^\nu u_\lambda f_-^{\mu\lambda})\rangle/B_0$	Y_{71}/B_0
4	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}\{u_\lambda, u^\mu u^\lambda u^\nu\}\rangle/B_0$	Y_4/B_0	32	$\langle t_{-\mu\nu}(u^\nu f_-^{\mu\lambda}u_\lambda - u_\lambda f_-^{\mu\lambda}u^\nu)\rangle/B_0$	Y_{72}/B_0
5	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}t_+^{\mu\nu}u_\lambda u^\lambda\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_9/B_0^2	33	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}\{f_+^{\mu\nu}, \chi_+\}\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{74}/B_0^2
6	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}u_\lambda t_+^{\mu\nu}u^\lambda\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{10}/B_0^2	34	$\langle t_{-\mu\nu}\{f_+^{\mu\nu}, \chi_-\}\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{75}/B_0^2
7	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}t_+^{\mu\lambda}u_\lambda u^\nu\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{11}/B_0^2	35	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}[f_-^{\mu\nu}, \chi_-]\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{76}/B_0^2
8	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}t_+^{\mu\lambda}u^\nu u_\lambda\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{12}/B_0^2	36	$i\langle t_{-\mu\nu}\{f_+^{\mu\nu}, h^\lambda{}_\lambda\}\rangle/B_0$	$-Y_{75}/B_0 + \frac{2}{N_f B_0}Y_{80}$
9	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}(u^\nu t_+^{\mu\lambda}u_\lambda + u_\lambda t_+^{\mu\lambda}u^\nu)\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{13}/B_0^2	37	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}\{t_-^{\nu\lambda}, h^\mu{}_\lambda\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{81}/B_0^2
10	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}t_+^{\mu\nu}\chi_+\rangle/B_0^3$	Y_{31}/B_0^3	38	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}f_-^{\mu\lambda}f_{-\lambda}^\nu\rangle/B_0$	Y_{84}/B_0
11	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}t_-^{\mu\nu}\chi_- + t_-^{\mu\nu}t_+^{\mu\nu}\chi_-\rangle/B_0^3$	$2Y_{32}/B_0^3$	39	$\langle it_{+\mu\nu}f_+^{\mu\lambda}f_{+\lambda}^\nu\rangle/B_0$	Y_{85}/B_0
12	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}\{t_-^{\mu\nu}, h^\lambda{}_\lambda\}\rangle/B_0^2$	$-2Y_{32}/B_0^2 + \frac{2}{N_f B_0^2}Y_{35}$	40	$i\langle t_{-\mu\nu}\{f_-^{\nu\lambda}, f_{+\lambda}^\mu\}\rangle/B_0$	Y_{86}/B_0
13	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}\{\chi_+, u^\mu u^\nu\}\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{39}/B_0^2	41	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}t_+^{\mu\lambda}t_{+\lambda}^\nu\rangle/B_0^3$	Y_{88}/B_0^3
14	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}u^\mu\chi_+ u^\nu\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{40}/B_0^2	42	$i\langle f_{+\mu\nu}t_+^{\nu\lambda}t_{+\lambda}^\mu\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{90}/B_0^2
15	$i\langle t_{-\mu\nu}\{\chi_-, u^\mu u^\nu\}\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{43}/B_0^2	43	$\langle\nabla_\mu t_+^{\mu\nu}\nabla^\lambda f_{+\lambda\nu}\rangle/B_0$	Y_{94}/B_0
16	$\langle it_{-\mu\nu}u^\mu\chi_- u^\nu\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{44}/B_0^2	44	$i\langle\nabla_\lambda t_{+\mu\nu}[h^{\mu\lambda}, u^\nu]\rangle/B_0$	Y_{95}/B_0
17	$\langle t_{-\mu\nu}u^\mu h^\lambda{}_\lambda u^\nu\rangle/B_0$	$Y_{44}/B_0 - \frac{1}{N_f B_0}Y_{45}$	45	$i\langle\nabla^\mu t_{+\mu\nu}[h^{\nu\lambda}, u_\lambda]\rangle/B_0$	Y_{96}/B_0
18	$\langle t_{-\mu\nu}\{u^\mu u^\nu, h^\lambda{}_\lambda\}\rangle/B_0$	$Y_{43}/B_0 - \frac{2}{N_f B_0}Y_{45}$	46	$i\langle\nabla^\mu t_{+\mu\nu}[f_-^{\nu\lambda}, u_\lambda]\rangle/B_0$	Y_{97}/B_0
19	$\langle t_{-\mu\nu}(h^{\nu\lambda}u_\lambda u^\mu - u^\mu u_\lambda h^{\nu\lambda})\rangle/B_0$	Y_{47}/B_0	47	$i\langle\nabla^\mu t_{+\nu\lambda}[f_-^{\nu\lambda}, u_\mu]\rangle/B_0$	Z_1/B_0[a]
20	$\langle t_{-\mu\nu}(h^{\nu\lambda}u^\mu u_\lambda - u_\lambda u^\mu h^{\nu\lambda})\rangle/B_0$	Y_{48}/B_0	48	$i\langle\nabla_\mu t_{+\nu\lambda}[f_-^{\mu\nu}, u^\lambda]\rangle/B_0$	Z_2/B_0[b]
21	$\langle t_{-\mu\nu}(u_\lambda h^{\nu\lambda}u^\mu - u^\mu h^{\nu\lambda}u_\lambda)\rangle/B_0$	Y_{49}/B_0	49	$i\langle\nabla^\mu t_{-\mu\nu}\{f_+^{\nu\lambda}, u_\lambda\}\rangle/B_0$	Y_{98}/B_0
22	$\langle\nabla_\lambda t_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\lambda t_+^{\mu\nu}\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{51}/B_0^2	50	$i\langle\nabla_\lambda t_{-\mu\nu}\{f_+^{\mu\nu}, u^\lambda\}\rangle/B_0$	Y_{99}/B_0
23	$\langle\nabla_\mu t_+^{\mu\nu}\nabla^\lambda t_{+\lambda\nu}\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{52}/B_0^2	51	$i\langle\nabla_\lambda t_{-\mu\nu}\{f_+^{\mu\lambda}, u^\nu\}\rangle/B_0$	Y_{100}/B_0
24	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}\{f_+^{\mu\nu}, u_\lambda u^\lambda\}\rangle/B_0$	Y_{57}/B_0	52	$i\langle\{\nabla_\mu t_+^{\mu\nu}, t_{-\nu\lambda}\}u^\lambda\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{105}/B_0^2
25	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}u_\lambda f_+^{\mu\nu}u^\lambda\rangle/B_0$	Y_{58}/B_0	53	$i\langle\nabla^\mu t_+^{\nu\lambda}\{t_{-\mu\lambda}, u_\nu\}\rangle/B_0^2$	Z_3/B_0^2[c]
26	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}(f_+^{\mu\lambda}u^\nu u_\lambda + u_\lambda u^\nu f_+^{\mu\lambda})\rangle/B_0$	Y_{59}/B_0	54	$\langle t_-^{\mu\nu}[\chi_{+\mu}, u_\nu]\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{112}/B_0^2
27	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}(f_+^{\mu\lambda}u_\lambda u^\nu + u^\nu u_\lambda f_+^{\mu\lambda})\rangle/B_0$	Y_{60}/B_0	55	$\langle t_+^{\mu\nu}[\chi_{-\mu}, u_\nu]\rangle/B_0^2$	Y_{113}/B_0^2
28	$\langle t_{+\mu\nu}(u^\nu f_+^{\mu\lambda}u_\lambda + u_\lambda f_+^{\mu\lambda}u^\nu)\rangle/B_0$	Y_{61}/B_0	56	$i\langle t_{+\mu\nu}h^{\mu\lambda}h^\nu{}_\lambda\rangle/B_0$	Y_{114}/B_0

[a] $Z_1 = -\frac{1}{2}Y_1 + Y_2 - Y_{59} + Y_{61} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{76} - Y_{84} - Y_{96} - Y_{97} + Y_{114}$,

[b] $Z_2 = \frac{1}{2}Y_1 + Y_3 - Y_4 + \frac{1}{2}Y_{39} + Y_{40} + Y_{60} - Y_{61} + Y_{84} - Y_{95} + Y_{113} - Y_{114}$,

[c] $Z_3 = -\frac{1}{4}Y_9 - \frac{1}{4}Y_{10} + Y_{11} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{13} + \frac{1}{4}Y_{32} - \frac{1}{4N_f}Y_{35} - \frac{1}{2}Y_{51} + 2Y_{52} - Y_{90} + Y_{105} - 4Y_{118} + 2Y_{119}$.

where $\widetilde{K}_n^{T,W}$ are the coefficients related to $\widetilde{O}_n^{T,W}$. Introducing a parameter t to $\widetilde{O}_n^{T,W}$, we change $\widetilde{O}_n^{T,W} \to \widetilde{O}_n^{T,W}(t)$. Then differentiating $\widetilde{O}_n^{T,W}(t)$, we get in like manner to (55)

$$(\widetilde{O}_{1,t}^{T,W}, \widetilde{O}_{2,t}^{T,W}, \cdots, \widetilde{O}_{56,t}^{T,W})^T = A_6(\bar{O}_1^{T,W}, \bar{O}_2^{T,W}, \cdots, \bar{O}_{339}^{T,W})^T, \qquad \widetilde{O}_{i,t}^{T,W} = d\widetilde{O}_i^{T,W}(t)/dt \tag{65}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\widetilde{K}_1^{T,W}, \widetilde{K}_2^{T,W}, \cdots, \widetilde{K}_{56}^{T,W})(\widetilde{O}_{1,t}^{T,W}, \widetilde{O}_{2,t}^{T,W}, \cdots, \widetilde{O}_{56,t}^{T,W})^T = (\widetilde{K}_1^{T,W}, \widetilde{K}_2^{T,W}, \cdots, \widetilde{K}_{56}^{T,W})A_6(\bar{O}_1^{T,W}, \bar{O}_2^{T,W}, \cdots, \bar{O}_{339}^{T,W})^T \\
= \; & (\bar{K}_1^{T,W}, \bar{K}_2^{T,W}, \cdots, \bar{K}_{339}^{T,W})(\bar{K}_1^{T,W}, \bar{K}_2^{T,W}, \cdots, \bar{K}_{339}^{T,W})^T \qquad (66) \\
\Rightarrow \; & (\widetilde{K}_1^{T,W}, \widetilde{K}_2^{T,W}, \cdots, \widetilde{K}_{56}^{T,W})A_6 = (\bar{K}_1^{T,W}, \bar{K}_2^{T,W}, \cdots, \bar{K}_{339}^{T,W}). \qquad (67)
\end{aligned}$$

$\bar{O}_n^{T,W}$ for p^6 order are the same as $\bar{o}_n$ in p^4 order, and $\bar{K}_n^{T,W}$ are the same as z_n in p^4 order. There are 339 $\bar{O}_n^{T,W}$ and $\bar{K}_n^{T,W}$, which are too many to be listed here. Moreover A_6 is the same as A_4 in p^4 order too. Using (67), we can also get $\widetilde{O}_n^{T,W}$, which are listed in Appendix E. Combined with Table IV, we can get the analytical results from the imaginary part. The numerical results are listed in the third and seventh column in Table V.

C. Numerical results

In Table V, we list our p^6 order LECs with tensor sources, including the three and two flavors case, and the results for the real and the imaginary parts. Similar to the p^4 order, we calculated the values with $\Lambda = 1\mathrm{GeV}$, and use the superscript and subscript denote the difference caused with $\Lambda = 1.1\mathrm{GeV}$ and $\Lambda = 0.9\mathrm{GeV}$, respectively.

$$C^T_{n,\Lambda=1\mathrm{GeV}}\Bigg|^{C^T_{n,\Lambda=1.1\mathrm{GeV}}-C^T_{n,\Lambda=1\mathrm{GeV}}}_{C^T_{n,\Lambda=0.9\mathrm{GeV}}-C^T_{n,\Lambda=1\mathrm{GeV}}} \qquad c^T_{n,\Lambda=1\mathrm{GeV}}\Bigg|^{c^T_{n,\Lambda=1.1\mathrm{GeV}}-c^T_{n,\Lambda=1\mathrm{GeV}}}_{c^T_{n,\Lambda=0.9\mathrm{GeV}}-c^T_{n,\Lambda=1\mathrm{GeV}}} . \tag{68}$$

$C^T_{n,\Lambda}$ and $c^T_{n,\Lambda}$ denote three and two flavors cases. Because of the relations given in Appendix B, some terms are not independent, we denote their coefficients by a symbol "–". In Ref.[10], the coefficients were multiplied by a suitable power of b_0 to express these with the same dimensional units. Since we cannot find a simple way to obtain b_0. Instead of b_0, we use B_0 to match the dimensions.

TABLE V: The obtained values of the p^6 order LECs. C^T_n denote the three flavors coefficients, and c^T_m denote two flavors. n, m are the number of independent monomials in [10] with some difference. The subscript r, i denote the LECs from the real part and imaginary part of Chiral Lagrangian. As some monomials are not independent, we denote their coefficients by a preceding symbol "–". The details can be found in Section IV. The value $\equiv 0$ means that the constants vanish in the large N_C limit.

n	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 C^T_{r,n}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 C^T_{i,n}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 C^T_n$	m	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 c^T_{r,m}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 c^T_{i,m}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 c^T_m$
1	$3.72^{-0.33}_{+0.48}$	$3.49^{-0.25}_{+0.30}$	$7.21^{-0.58}_{+0.78}$				
2	$6.65^{-0.46}_{+0.57}$	$6.67^{-0.47}_{+0.58}$	$13.32^{-0.93}_{+1.15}$	1	$9.01^{-0.78}_{+1.08}$	$8.60^{-0.62}_{+0.76}$	$17.61^{-1.40}_{+1.84}$
3	$-5.58^{+0.25}_{-0.18}$	$-6.01^{+0.42}_{-0.52}$	$-11.59^{+0.67}_{-0.70}$	2	$3.84^{-0.70}_{+1.25}$	$2.54^{-0.18}_{+0.22}$	$6.38^{-0.88}_{+1.48}$
4	$-2.89^{+0.34}_{-0.54}$	$-2.47^{+0.17}_{-0.21}$	$-5.36^{+0.51}_{-0.75}$				
5	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
6	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
7	$-0.83^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	$-2.69^{+0.43}_{-0.65}$	$-3.52^{+0.52}_{-0.73}$	3	$-0.84^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$	$-2.64^{+0.43}_{-0.65}$	$-3.47^{+0.53}_{-0.74}$
8	$-0.24^{-0.00}_{+0.06}$	$0.53^{-0.08}_{+0.13}$	$0.29^{-0.08}_{+0.18}$	4	$-0.25^{+0.00}_{+0.05}$	$0.49^{-0.08}_{+0.12}$	$0.23^{-0.08}_{+0.17}$
9	$3.56^{-0.68}_{+1.19}$	$-1.99^{+0.32}_{-0.48}$	$1.57^{-0.36}_{+0.71}$	5	$3.21^{-0.63}_{+1.12}$	$-2.17^{+0.35}_{-0.54}$	$1.04^{-0.28}_{+0.59}$
10	$-14.06^{+2.27}_{-3.45}$	$-1.75^{+0.28}_{-0.42}$	$-15.81^{+2.55}_{-3.87}$	6	$-13.35^{+2.21}_{-3.37}$	$-1.44^{+0.24}_{-0.36}$	$-14.79^{+2.45}_{-3.72}$
11	$3.28^{-0.45}_{+0.55}$	$1.75^{-0.28}_{+0.42}$	$5.03^{-0.73}_{+0.97}$	7	$3.21^{-0.45}_{+0.55}$	$1.73^{-0.28}_{+0.43}$	$4.94^{-0.73}_{+0.98}$
12	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
13	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
14	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
15	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
16	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
17	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	8	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
18	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	9	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
19	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
20	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
21	-	-	-	12	-	-	-
22	-	-	-				
23	-	-	-				
24	-	-	-				
25	-	-	-	13	-	-	-

n	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 C^T_{r,n}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 C^T_{i,n}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 C^T_{n}$	m	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 c^T_{r,m}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 c^T_{i,m}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 c^T_{m}$
26	$-4.13^{+0.72}_{-0.97}$	$-5.79^{+1.39}_{-2.41}$	$-9.93^{+2.12}_{-3.38}$	14	$-4.09^{+0.74}_{-1.00}$	$-5.77^{+1.42}_{-2.47}$	$-9.85^{+2.16}_{-3.47}$
27	$4.17^{-1.13}_{+1.84}$	$8.46^{-2.23}_{+3.94}$	$12.64^{-3.36}_{+5.78}$	15	$3.99^{-1.11}_{+1.80}$	$8.22^{-2.21}_{+3.94}$	$12.21^{-3.32}_{+5.74}$
28	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	16	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
29	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	17	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
30	$1.65^{-0.26}_{+0.37}$	$0.80^{-0.13}_{+0.19}$	$2.45^{-0.38}_{+0.57}$	18	$1.19^{-0.19}_{+0.28}$	$0.57^{-0.09}_{+0.14}$	$1.76^{-0.28}_{+0.42}$
31	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	19	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
32	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
33	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
34	$-0.73^{-0.58}_{+1.05}$	$-6.90^{+0.47}_{-0.57}$	$-7.63^{-0.11}_{+0.49}$	20	$-1.04^{-0.55}_{+1.02}$	$-7.03^{+0.49}_{-0.60}$	$-8.07^{-0.06}_{+0.42}$
35	$-8.89^{+0.02}_{+0.34}$	$-13.40^{+0.87}_{-1.04}$	$-22.30^{+0.89}_{-0.69}$	21	$-9.26^{+0.07}_{+0.29}$	$-13.64^{+0.92}_{-1.09}$	$-22.90^{+0.99}_{-0.81}$
36	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
37	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
38	$-9.04^{+0.54}_{-0.61}$	$-8.34^{+0.43}_{-0.44}$	$-17.38^{+0.96}_{-1.05}$	22	$-7.63^{+0.46}_{-0.50}$	$-6.95^{+0.34}_{-0.34}$	$-14.58^{+0.80}_{-0.85}$
39	$3.23^{-0.50}_{+0.75}$	$8.15^{-0.47}_{+0.52}$	$11.38^{-0.97}_{+1.27}$	23	$5.64^{-0.68}_{+0.97}$	$10.81^{-0.67}_{+0.77}$	$16.45^{-1.35}_{+1.74}$
40	$3.64^{-0.25}_{+0.31}$	$3.64^{-0.26}_{+0.31}$	$7.28^{-0.51}_{+0.62}$				
41	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
42	$-4.98^{+0.35}_{-0.42}$	$-4.95^{+0.35}_{-0.43}$	$-9.93^{+0.70}_{-0.85}$	24	$-4.94^{+0.36}_{-0.42}$	$-4.91^{+0.35}_{-0.43}$	$-9.85^{+0.71}_{-0.86}$
43	$5.77^{-0.39}_{+0.46}$	$5.81^{-0.41}_{+0.50}$	$11.58^{-0.80}_{+0.96}$	25	$5.70^{-0.39}_{+0.46}$	$5.73^{-0.41}_{+0.51}$	$11.43^{-0.81}_{+0.97}$
44	$11.08^{-0.79}_{+0.96}$	$11.02^{-0.78}_{+0.95}$	$22.10^{-1.56}_{+1.91}$	26	$10.95^{-0.80}_{+0.97}$	$10.89^{-0.78}_{+0.96}$	$21.84^{-1.58}_{+1.93}$
45	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	27	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
46	$-0.01^{-0.16}_{+0.36}$	$-2.39^{+0.38}_{-0.57}$	$-2.40^{+0.23}_{-0.21}$	28	$0.27^{-0.20}_{+0.42}$	$-2.04^{+0.33}_{-0.50}$	$-1.77^{+0.14}_{-0.08}$
47	$-40.61^{+6.31}_{-9.19}$	$-13.15^{+2.10}_{-3.16}$	$-53.75^{+8.41}_{-12.35}$	29	$-39.68^{+6.32}_{-9.23}$	$-13.13^{+2.15}_{-3.24}$	$-52.81^{+8.46}_{-12.48}$
48	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
49	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	31	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
50	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	32	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
51	-	-	-	33	-	-	-
52	$-0.07^{-0.08}_{+0.17}$	$-0.32^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	$-0.39^{-0.06}_{+0.15}$	34	$-0.13^{-0.07}_{+0.17}$	$-0.39^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$-0.52^{-0.05}_{+0.14}$
53	$2.30^{-0.17}_{+0.21}$	$2.29^{-0.16}_{+0.20}$	$4.59^{-0.33}_{+0.41}$	35	$2.32^{-0.17}_{+0.22}$	$2.31^{-0.17}_{+0.20}$	$4.62^{-0.34}_{+0.42}$
54	$-5.68^{+0.47}_{-0.63}$	$-5.46^{+0.38}_{-0.47}$	$-11.14^{+0.85}_{-1.11}$	36	$-5.37^{+0.46}_{-0.62}$	$-5.15^{+0.37}_{-0.46}$	$-10.51^{+0.83}_{-1.07}$
55	$-2.42^{-0.03}_{+0.23}$	$-3.05^{+0.21}_{-0.26}$	$-5.47^{+0.18}_{-0.04}$	37	$-2.74^{-0.01}_{+0.20}$	$-3.38^{+0.24}_{-0.30}$	$-6.12^{+0.23}_{-0.10}$
56	$-4.72^{+0.47}_{-0.71}$	$-4.28^{+0.30}_{-0.37}$	$-9.00^{+0.78}_{-1.08}$	38	$-4.43^{+0.46}_{-0.69}$	$-3.98^{+0.29}_{-0.35}$	$-8.40^{+0.75}_{-1.05}$
57	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
58	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
59	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
60	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
61	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
62	$-4.79^{+0.29}_{-0.31}$	$-4.89^{+0.34}_{-0.42}$	$-9.68^{+0.64}_{-0.73}$	39	$-4.77^{+0.30}_{-0.32}$	$-4.88^{+0.35}_{-0.43}$	$-9.65^{+0.65}_{-0.75}$
63	$-11.09^{+0.77}_{-0.93}$	$-11.15^{+0.78}_{-0.96}$	$-22.24^{+1.55}_{-1.90}$	40	$-10.86^{+0.77}_{-0.94}$	$-10.91^{+0.79}_{-0.97}$	$-21.77^{+1.56}_{-1.90}$
64	$6.33^{-0.46}_{+0.58}$	$14.34^{-1.01}_{+1.24}$	$20.67^{-1.47}_{+1.82}$	41	$6.16^{-0.46}_{+0.58}$	$14.16^{-1.02}_{+1.25}$	$20.32^{-1.48}_{+1.83}$
65	$0.16^{-0.04}_{+0.08}$	$0.09^{-0.01}_{+0.01}$	$0.26^{-0.05}_{+0.09}$	42	$0.10^{-0.04}_{+0.08}$	$0.02^{-0.00}_{+0.00}$	$0.12^{-0.04}_{+0.08}$
66	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	43	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
67	$3.83^{-0.59}_{+0.87}$	$0.60^{-0.10}_{+0.14}$	$4.43^{-0.69}_{+1.01}$	44	$3.70^{-0.59}_{+0.86}$	$0.57^{-0.09}_{+0.14}$	$4.27^{-0.68}_{+1.00}$

n	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 C^T_{r,n}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 C^T_{i,n}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 C^T_{n}$	m	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 c^T_{r,m}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 c^T_{i,m}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2 c^T_{m}$
68	$-2.98^{+0.09}_{-0.04}$	$-3.65^{+0.20}_{-0.22}$	$-6.63^{+0.29}_{-0.26}$	45	$-0.91^{-0.05}_{+0.11}$	$-1.54^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$-2.45^{+0.01}_{+0.07}$
69	$-7.16^{+0.32}_{-0.27}$	$-8.05^{+0.51}_{-0.60}$	$-15.21^{+0.83}_{-0.88}$	46	$-7.17^{+0.33}_{-0.29}$	$-8.04^{+0.53}_{-0.62}$	$-15.21^{+0.86}_{-0.91}$
70	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	47	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
71	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	48	$-2.10^{+0.14}_{-0.16}$	$-2.12^{+0.15}_{-0.19}$	$-4.22^{+0.29}_{-0.35}$
72	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
73	$2.81^{-0.19}_{+0.21}$	$2.83^{-0.20}_{+0.24}$	$5.64^{-0.39}_{+0.46}$				
74	-	-	-	49	-	-	-
75	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	50	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
76	-	-	-				
77	$-2.03^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	$-2.10^{+0.15}_{-0.18}$	$-4.13^{+0.26}_{-0.30}$	51	$-1.95^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$	$-2.02^{+0.15}_{-0.18}$	$-3.97^{+0.26}_{-0.29}$
78	$9.24^{-0.61}_{+0.70}$	$9.32^{-0.66}_{+0.81}$	$18.56^{-1.27}_{+1.51}$	52	$8.79^{-0.59}_{+0.67}$	$8.88^{-0.64}_{+0.79}$	$17.66^{-1.23}_{+1.46}$
79	$-7.97^{+0.43}_{-0.43}$	$-8.40^{+0.59}_{-0.73}$	$-16.37^{+1.02}_{-1.16}$	53	$-7.69^{+0.43}_{-0.42}$	$-8.12^{+0.59}_{-0.72}$	$-15.81^{+1.01}_{-1.14}$
80	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
81	$22.86^{-3.54}_{+5.12}$	$28.98^{-4.63}_{+6.97}$	$51.84^{-8.17}_{+12.09}$	54	$22.38^{-3.54}_{+5.14}$	$28.39^{-4.65}_{+7.01}$	$50.78^{-8.19}_{+12.15}$
82	-	-	-	55	-	-	-
83	$-20.23^{+3.14}_{-4.57}$	$-3.02^{+0.48}_{-0.73}$	$-23.26^{+3.62}_{-5.30}$	56	$-19.25^{+3.06}_{-4.46}$	$-2.60^{+0.43}_{-0.64}$	$-21.85^{+3.48}_{-5.10}$
84	-	-	-	57	-	-	-
85	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	58	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
86	-	-	-				
87	$-38.66^{+2.27}_{-2.39}$	$-40.09^{+2.82}_{-3.46}$	$-78.75^{+5.09}_{-5.86}$	59	$-38.64^{+2.34}_{-2.46}$	$-40.08^{+2.89}_{-3.55}$	$-78.72^{+5.22}_{-6.01}$
88	$-1.37^{+0.18}_{-0.29}$	$-1.07^{+0.07}_{-0.09}$	$-2.43^{+0.26}_{-0.38}$	60	$-1.09^{+0.17}_{-0.26}$	$-0.79^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$	$-1.87^{+0.22}_{-0.33}$
89	$8.58^{-0.54}_{+0.62}$	$8.78^{-0.62}_{+0.76}$	$17.36^{-1.16}_{+1.38}$	61	$8.60^{-0.56}_{+0.63}$	$8.80^{-0.63}_{+0.78}$	$17.40^{-1.19}_{+1.41}$
90	$2.63^{-0.12}_{+0.11}$	$2.86^{-0.20}_{+0.25}$	$5.49^{-0.32}_{+0.36}$	62	$2.92^{-0.15}_{+0.14}$	$3.15^{-0.23}_{+0.28}$	$6.06^{-0.37}_{+0.42}$
91	$8.16^{-0.57}_{+0.67}$	$8.09^{-0.57}_{+0.70}$	$16.24^{-1.14}_{+1.37}$	63	$8.20^{-0.59}_{+0.69}$	$8.14^{-0.59}_{+0.72}$	$16.34^{-1.18}_{+1.41}$
92	$-8.34^{+0.49}_{-0.51}$	$-8.66^{+0.61}_{-0.75}$	$-17.00^{+1.10}_{-1.26}$	64	$-8.14^{+0.49}_{-0.51}$	$-8.46^{+0.61}_{-0.75}$	$-16.60^{+1.10}_{-1.26}$
93	$16.10^{-1.11}_{+1.30}$	$16.07^{-1.13}_{+1.39}$	$32.16^{-2.24}_{+2.69}$	65	$16.09^{-1.13}_{+1.32}$	$16.07^{-1.16}_{+1.42}$	$32.16^{-2.29}_{+2.74}$
94	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
95	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
96	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				
97	-	-	-	66	-	-	-
98	$19.83^{-3.08}_{+4.48}$	$9.60^{-1.53}_{+2.31}$	$29.42^{-4.61}_{+6.78}$	67	$19.05^{-3.03}_{+4.42}$	$9.16^{-1.50}_{+2.26}$	$28.22^{-4.53}_{+6.68}$
99	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	68	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
100	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	69	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
101	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	70	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$
102	-	-	-				
103	-	-	-				
104	-	-	-				
105	$-5.18^{+0.80}_{-1.17}$	$0.00^{+0.00}_{+0.00}$	$-5.18^{+0.80}_{-1.17}$	71	$-5.01^{+0.80}_{-1.16}$	$0.00^{+0.00}_{+0.00}$	$-5.01^{+0.80}_{-1.16}$
106	$-3.50^{-0.79}_{+1.48}$	$-11.79^{+0.61}_{-0.65}$	$-15.30^{-0.17}_{+0.83}$	72	$-4.03^{-0.73}_{+1.42}$	$-12.08^{+0.66}_{-0.71}$	$-16.12^{-0.07}_{+0.71}$
107	$-0.25^{+0.13}_{-0.24}$	$0.11^{-0.01}_{+0.01}$	$-0.15^{+0.12}_{-0.23}$	73	$0.02^{+0.11}_{-0.21}$	$0.38^{-0.03}_{+0.03}$	$0.39^{+0.08}_{-0.18}$
108	-	-	-	74	-	-	-
109	-	-	-	75	-	-	-

n	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2C^T_{r,n}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2C^T_{i,n}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2C^T_n$	m	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2c^T_{r,m}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2c^T_{i,m}$	$10^3\mathrm{GeV}^2c^T_m$
110	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 0$				

The calculation process is too complicated, to avoid possible mistakes, the expansion in Eq.(30) and (33) and most of the other calculations, are done by computer. To check the correctness of our results, we examine them in various alternative ways. First, because these results contain the original results in [17, 18], if we switch off the tensor sources, as a check, we must recover the original results. Second, some terms in Table III and the p^6 order operators in Table 53 have two parts, we calculate them separately. C, P and hermitian invariance constrain the two parts of coefficients equal(or with a minus sign difference). Our analytical results for the separate part must give the same coefficients. Third, [17] told us that if we switch off the quark self-energy, all the LECs, except the contact terms', must be zeros. This places a strong restriction on our results. We found that this restriction can be realized only when we use the new relations given in Appendix B. Fourth, in the p^6 order, because of the strict constraint conditions in Eq.(59), we have $339 - 56 = 283$ constraint conditions. They are also a strong restriction on our results. With all the above assessments, we are confident of the reliability of our numerical results for LECs.

Ref.[10] told us that operators contributing to the odd-intrinsic-parity part with tensor fields start from the p^8 order, and we showed in Section IV that the odd-intrinsic-parity parts with tensor fields can not independently exist. So we have obtained all the LECs to the p^6 order, with scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axial vector and tensor sources, including the normal and anomalous parts, and two and three flavors cases. We found that in our method, all the contact terms' coefficients are divergent, except H_1 in the p^4 order normal part.

VII. SUMMARY

To summarize our results: we extended our previous computation for LECs in Ref.[15, 17] include tensor sources, and obtain all LECs of order p^4 and p^6 for the chiral Lagrangian. We find that the operators given in the Ref.[10] are not all independent because of certain relations involving epsilon. Adding these relations, we can reduce 22 operators for n-flavor, 21 for 3-flavor case, and 13 for 2-flavor case, leaving 98 independent operators for n-flavor, 92 for 3-flavor, and 65 for 2-flavor cases. Our LECs are presented with numerical values, for both two and three flavors cases. We also find that the odd-intrinsic-parity parts chiral Lagrangian with tensor sources can not independently exist. Thus, up to the p^6 order, we have already given all the LECs values. Although, in obtaining these values, we have made many approximations. As a first step in estimating values, these results not only provide the sign and order of magnitude, but also the quantitative information of LECs. With improvements in the computation procedure, we expect more precise results to be obtained in the future. Another direction of research is applying the present chiral Lagrangian with tensor sources, adding the known LECs to various low energy (π, K, η) processes. We hope more physical results can be obtained.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant Nos.11147192, 11205034, 11075085, the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of High Education of China No.20110002110010, the Scientific Research Foundation of GuangXi University Grant No. XBZ100686 and the Tsinghua University Initiative Scientific Research Program.

Appendix A: relations among our symbols and those used in Ref.[10]

To help in understanding the mutual relation between the notation in our current formulation and those in Ref.[10], we provide a comparison in Table VI.

Appendix B: new relations

In this appendix, we list the new relations, when using the epsilon relations in Section IV. The l.h.s. of (B1) are considered to be dependent, and reducible.

$$Y_{23} = \frac{1}{2}Y_9 - Y_{12},$$

TABLE VI: Comparison between notations introduced in Ref.[10] (first and third columns) and that defied in the current paper (second and fourth columns).

Ref. [10]	Present paper	Ref. [10]	Present paper
∇^μ	d^μ	χ_-^μ	$4iB_0 d^\mu p_\Omega - 4iB_0 s_\Omega a_\Omega^\mu - 4iB_0 a_\Omega^\mu s_\Omega$
u	Ω	$f_+^{\mu\nu}$	$2V_\Omega^{\mu\nu} - 2i(a_\Omega^\mu a_\Omega^\nu - a_\Omega^\nu a_\Omega^\mu)$
u^μ	$2a_\Omega^\mu$	$\nabla^\lambda f_+^{\mu\nu}$	$2d^\lambda V_\Omega^{\mu\nu} - 2id^\lambda(a_\Omega^\mu a_\Omega^\nu - a_\Omega^\nu a_\Omega^\mu)$
χ	χ	$f_-^{\mu\nu}$	$-2(d^\mu a_\Omega^\nu - d^\nu a_\Omega^\mu)$
χ_+	$4B_0 s_\Omega$	$\nabla^\lambda f_-^{\mu\nu}$	$-2(d^\lambda d^\mu a_\Omega^\nu - d^\lambda d^\nu a_\Omega^\mu)$
χ_+^μ	$4B_0 d^\mu s_\Omega + 4B_0 p_\Omega a_\Omega^\mu + 4B_0 a_\Omega^\mu p_\Omega$	$h^{\mu\nu}$	$2(d^\mu a_\Omega^\nu + d^\nu a_\Omega^\mu)$
χ_-	$4iB_0 p_\Omega$	Γ^μ	$-iv_\Omega^\mu$
$t_+^{\mu\nu}$	$t_{+,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}$	$t_-^{\mu\nu}$	$t_{-,\Omega}^{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{24} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_9 - Y_{11},\\
Y_{25} &= Y_{10} - Y_{13},\\
Y_{26} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_{14} - Y_{15},\\
Y_{27} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_{16} - Y_{18},\\
Y_{28} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_{16} - Y_{17},\\
Y_{29} &= Y_{19} - Y_{20},\\
Y_{30} &= Y_{21} - Y_{22},\\
Y_{53} &= -\frac{1}{2}Y_{11} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{12} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{51} - Y_{52} + Y_{90},\\
Y_{56} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_{54} - Y_{55},\\
Y_{81} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_{32} - \frac{1}{2n_f}Y_{35},\\
Y_{83} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_{36} - \frac{1}{2n_f}Y_{38} - Y_{82},\\
Y_{89} &= Y_{88},\\
Y_{91} &= Y_{90},\\
Y_{93} &= Y_{92},\\
Y_{104} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_{32} - \frac{1}{2n_f}Y_{35},\\
Y_{109} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_{36} - \frac{1}{2n_f}Y_{38} - Y_{106},\\
Y_{110} &= -\frac{1}{2}Y_{82} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{92} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{106} + Y_{107},\\
Y_{111} &= -\frac{1}{4}Y_{36} + \frac{1}{4n_f}Y_{38} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{82} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{92} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{106} + Y_{108},\\
Y_{115} &= -\frac{1}{2}Y_1 + Y_2 - \frac{1}{2}Y_{57} + Y_{58} + Y_{84} - Y_{96} + Y_{97} + Y_{114},\\
Y_{116} &= \frac{1}{2}Y_{69} - Y_{70} + Y_{72} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{75} - \frac{1}{n_f}Y_{80} - Y_{86} - 2Y_{98} - Y_{99},\\
Y_{119} &= 0. \qquad \text{(B1)}
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix C: $\mathcal{Z}_n$ coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}_1^T &= \int dK\Big[-10\tau^3\Sigma_k+\frac{10}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k+\frac{40}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3-\frac{2}{9}\tau^5k^4\Sigma_k-2\tau^5k^2\Sigma_k^3-\frac{8}{3}\tau^5\Sigma_k^5\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_2^T &= \int dK\Big[+10\tau^3\Sigma_k-\frac{8}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k-\frac{40}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3+2\tau^5k^2\Sigma_k^3+\frac{8}{3}\tau^5\Sigma_k^5\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_3^T &= \int dK\Big[-10\tau^3\Sigma_k+4\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k+\frac{40}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3-\frac{2}{9}\tau^5k^4\Sigma_k-2\tau^5k^2\Sigma_k^3-\frac{8}{3}\tau^5\Sigma_k^5\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_4^T &= \int dK\Big[-10\tau^3\Sigma_k+2\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k+\frac{40}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3+\frac{2}{9}\tau^5k^4\Sigma_k-2\tau^5k^2\Sigma_k^3-\frac{8}{3}\tau^5\Sigma_k^5\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_5^T &= \int dK\Big[+4\tau^3\Sigma_k-\frac{2}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k-\frac{4}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3-\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k'\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_6^T &= \int dK\Big[-\frac{22}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k+\frac{1}{3}\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k'+2\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k+\frac{8}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_7^T &= \int dK\Big[+6\tau^3\Sigma_k+\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k'-\frac{4}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k-\frac{8}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k'\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_8^T &= \int dK\Big[+4\tau^3\Sigma_k-\frac{4}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k-\frac{4}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3+\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k'\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_9^T &= \int dK\Big[-4\tau^3\Sigma_k+\frac{4}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k+\frac{4}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3-\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k'\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{10}^T &= \int dK\Big[-6\tau^3\Sigma_k+\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k'+\frac{4}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k+\frac{8}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k'\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{11}^T &= \int dK\Big[-\frac{20}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k+\frac{2}{3}\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k'+\frac{4}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k+\frac{8}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k'\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{12}^T &= \int dK\Big[+\frac{2}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k'\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{13}^T &= \int dK\Big[+4\tau^3\Sigma_k+2\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k'-\frac{2}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k-\frac{8}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^3-\frac{4}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k'\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{14}^T &= \int dK\Big[+2\tau^2-\frac{3}{2}\tau^3k^2-8\tau^3\Sigma_k^2+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4+2\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^2+\frac{8}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^4\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{15}^T &= \int dK\Big[-4\tau^2+\tau^3k^2+16\tau^3\Sigma_k^2+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4-4\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^2-\frac{16}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^4\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{16}^T &= \int dK\Big[+4\tau^2-3\tau^3k^2-16\tau^3\Sigma_k^2+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4+4\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^2+\frac{16}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^4\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{17}^T &= \int dK\Big[-2\tau^2+\frac{3}{2}\tau^3k^2+2\tau^3\Sigma_k^2-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4-\frac{4}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{18}^T &= \int dK\Big[+4\tau^2-\tau^3k^2-4\tau^3\Sigma_k^2-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4+\frac{4}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{19}^T &= \int dK\Big[-4\tau^2+3\tau^3k^2+4\tau^3\Sigma_k^2-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4-\frac{4}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{20}^T &= \int dK\Big[+2\tau^2-\tau^3k^2-8\tau^3\Sigma_k^2+\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4+2\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^2+\frac{8}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^4\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{21}^T &= \int dK\Big[+\tau^2-4\tau^3\Sigma_k^2-\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4+\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^2+\frac{4}{3}\tau^4\Sigma_k^4\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{22}^T &= \int dK\Big[-2\tau^2+\tau^3k^2-\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4+\frac{2}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^2\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}^T_{23} &= \int dK \Big[-\tau^2 + \frac{1}{9}\tau^4 k^4 + \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{24} &= \int dK \Big[+\frac{4}{3}\tau^3 \Sigma_k - \tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k - \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k + \frac{1}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k + \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma_k \Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{1}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k - \frac{4}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k'^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{25} &= \int dK \Big[-\frac{2}{3}\tau^3 \Sigma_k + \frac{2}{3}\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{26} &= \int dK \Big[-\frac{2}{3}\tau^3 \Sigma_k + \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k - \frac{4}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma_k \Sigma_k'^2 - \frac{1}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k + \frac{4}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k'^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{27} &= \int dK \Big[+\frac{1}{3}\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k + \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k - \frac{1}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k - \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma_k \Sigma_k'^2 - \frac{1}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k + \frac{4}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k'^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{28} &= \int dK \Big[-6\tau^3 \Sigma_k + 10\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma_k \Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{8}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k + \frac{8}{3}\tau^4 \Sigma_k^3 - \frac{1}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k - \frac{10}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma_k \Sigma_k'^2 - \frac{40}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k'^2 \\
& \quad -\frac{2}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k - \frac{2}{3}\tau^5 k^2 \Sigma_k^3 + \frac{8}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{8}{3}\tau^5 k^2 \Sigma_k^5 \Sigma_k'^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{29} &= \int dK \Big[+\frac{2}{3}\tau^3 \Sigma_k + \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k - \frac{4}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma_k \Sigma_k'^2 - \frac{1}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k + \frac{4}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k'^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{30} &= \int dK \Big[+4\tau^2 - \frac{4}{3}\tau^3 k^2 - 4\tau^3 \Sigma_k^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{31} &= \int dK \Big[+\frac{8}{3}\tau^2 - \frac{2}{3}\tau^3 k^2 - \frac{4}{3}\tau^3 \Sigma_k^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{32} &= \int dK \Big[-3\tau^2 + \frac{7}{6}\tau^3 k^2 + 2\tau^3 \Sigma_k^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{33} &= \int dK \Big[-4\tau^2 + \tau^3 k^2 + 16\tau^3 \Sigma_k^2 - \frac{8}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k^2 - \frac{16}{3}\tau^4 \Sigma_k^4 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{34} &= \int dK \Big[-4\tau^2 + 2\tau^3 k^2 + 16\tau^3 \Sigma_k^2 - \frac{8}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k^2 - \frac{16}{3}\tau^4 \Sigma_k^4 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{35} &= \int dK \Big[-\frac{4}{3}\tau^3 \Sigma_k + \tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k + \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k - \frac{1}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k - \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma_k \Sigma_k'^2 - \frac{1}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k + \frac{4}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k'^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{36} &= \int dK \Big[-6\tau^3 \Sigma_k + 10\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma_k \Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{8}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k + \frac{8}{3}\tau^4 \Sigma_k^3 - \frac{2}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k - \frac{4}{3}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma_k \Sigma_k'^2 - \frac{40}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k'^2 \\
& \quad -\frac{2}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k - \frac{2}{3}\tau^5 k^2 \Sigma_k^3 + \frac{8}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k'^2 + \frac{8}{3}\tau^5 k^2 \Sigma_k^5 \Sigma_k'^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{37} &= \int dK \Big[+\frac{1}{3}\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k + \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k - \frac{1}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k - \frac{2}{3}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma_k \Sigma_k'^2 - \frac{1}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k + \frac{4}{9}\tau^5 k^4 \Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k'^2 \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{38} &= \int dK \Big[+\frac{2}{3}\tau^3 \Sigma_k - \frac{2}{3}\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{39} &= \int dK \Big[-\frac{20}{3}\tau^3 \Sigma_k + \frac{4}{3}\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k + 2\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k + \frac{8}{3}\tau^4 \Sigma_k^3 - \frac{2}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{40} &= \int dK \Big[+6\tau^3 \Sigma_k + \frac{2}{3}\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k - 2\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k - \frac{8}{3}\tau^4 \Sigma_k^3 - \frac{2}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{41} &= \int dK \Big[+\frac{10}{3}\tau^3 \Sigma_k - \frac{1}{3}\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k - \tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k - \frac{4}{3}\tau^4 \Sigma_k^3 + \frac{2}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{42} &= \int dK \Big[+6\tau^3 \Sigma_k + \frac{2}{3}\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k - 2\tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k - \frac{8}{3}\tau^4 \Sigma_k^3 + \frac{2}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{43} &= \int dK \Big[+\frac{10}{3}\tau^3 \Sigma_k + \frac{2}{3}\tau^3 k^2 \Sigma'_k - \tau^4 k^2 \Sigma_k - \frac{4}{3}\tau^4 \Sigma_k^3 - \frac{2}{9}\tau^4 k^4 \Sigma'_k \Big], \\
\mathcal{Z}^T_{44} &= \int dK \Big[-4\tau^2 + 2\tau^3 k^2 + 4\tau^3 \Sigma_k^2 \Big],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{45} = \int dK\Big[+\frac{8}{3}\tau^2-\frac{4}{3}\tau^3k^2-\frac{4}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k^2\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{46} = \int dK\Big[-2\tau^2+\frac{5}{6}\tau^3k^2+2\tau^3\Sigma_k^2\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{47} = \int dK\Big[-\frac{8}{3}\tau^2+\frac{5}{3}\tau^3k^2+\frac{4}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k^2\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{48} = \int dK\Big[+6\tau^2-\frac{8}{3}\tau^3k^2-4\tau^3\Sigma_k^2\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{49} = \int dK\Big[+\frac{2}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k-\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma'_k-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{50} = \int dK\Big[+\frac{2}{3}\tau^2\Sigma'_k+\frac{4}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k-\frac{4}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k^2\Sigma'_k+2\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k-\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma'_k-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{51} = \int dK\Big[+\tau^3\Sigma_k-\frac{7}{6}\tau^3k^2\Sigma'_k+\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma'_k+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{52} = \int dK\Big[+\frac{2}{3}\tau^2\Sigma'_k+\frac{2}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k+\frac{2}{3}\tau^3k^2\Sigma'_k-\frac{4}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k^2\Sigma'_k-2\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k-\frac{1}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k+\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma'_k$$
$$+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k+\frac{4}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma'^2_k\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{53} = \int dK\Big[+\frac{4}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k-\frac{5}{3}\tau^3k^2\Sigma'_k+\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma'_k+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{54} = \int dK\Big[+\frac{1}{3}\tau^3k^2\Sigma'_k-\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma'_k-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{55} = \int dK\Big[+\frac{8}{3}\tau^2-\frac{1}{3}\tau^3k^2-\frac{4}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k^2\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{56} = \int dK\Big[+2\tau^2\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{57} = \int dK\Big[-2\tau^3\Sigma_k+2\tau^3k^2\Sigma'_k-2\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{58} = \int dK\Big[-\frac{16}{3}\tau^2+3\tau^3k^2+4\tau^3\Sigma_k^2\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{59} = \int dK\Big[+\frac{4}{3}\tau^2-\tau^3k^2\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{60} = \int dK\Big[-\tau^2\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{61} = \int dK\Big[+3\tau^2-\tau^3k^2-2\tau^3\Sigma_k^2\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{62} = \int dK\Big[+4\tau^2\Sigma_k-2\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k-\frac{8}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k^3\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{63} = \int dK\Big[-4\tau^2\Sigma_k+2\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{64} = \int dK\Big[-6\tau^2\Sigma_k+2\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k+4\tau^3\Sigma_k^3\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{65} = \int dK\Big[+2\tau^2\Sigma_k-2\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{66} = \int dK\Big[+\frac{2}{3}\tau^2\Sigma'_k+\frac{1}{3}\tau^3k^2\Sigma'_k+\frac{2}{9}\tau^3k^4\Sigma'^3_k-\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma'_k-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k\Big],$$

$$\mathcal{Z}^T_{67} = \int dK\Big[+\frac{2}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k-\frac{11}{18}\tau^3k^2\Sigma'_k-\frac{11}{9}\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k-\frac{2}{9}\tau^3k^4\Sigma'^3_k-\frac{1}{6}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k+\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma'_k+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{2}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k'^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{68}^T &= \int dK\Big[-\frac{1}{9}\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k'+\frac{4}{9}\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{2}{9}\tau^3k^4\Sigma_k'^3+\frac{1}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k'+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k\Sigma_k'^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{69}^T &= \int dK\Big[-\frac{1}{3}\tau^2+\frac{1}{3}\tau^3k^2-\frac{1}{18}\tau^4k^4+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{70}^T &= \int dK\Big[+\frac{5}{6}\tau^2-\frac{1}{2}\tau^2k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{1}{2}\tau^3k^2-\frac{2}{3}\tau^3\Sigma_k^2+2\tau^3k^2\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{18}\tau^4k^4+\frac{1}{6}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^2\\
&-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2-\frac{2}{3}\tau^4k^2\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k'^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{71}^T &= \int dK\Big[-\frac{1}{3}\tau^2-\frac{1}{3}\tau^3k^2+\frac{1}{18}\tau^4k^4-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{72}^T &= \int dK\Big[+\frac{1}{3}\tau^2-\frac{1}{3}\tau^3k^2+\frac{1}{18}\tau^4k^4-\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{73}^T &= \int dK\Big[-\frac{1}{2}\tau^2-\frac{1}{2}\tau^2k^2\Sigma_k'^2+\frac{1}{3}\tau^3k^2-\frac{1}{18}\tau^4k^4+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{74}^T &= \int dK\Big[+\frac{1}{3}\tau^2+\frac{1}{3}\tau^3k^2-\frac{1}{18}\tau^4k^4+\frac{2}{9}\tau^4k^4\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k'^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{75}^T &= \int dK\Big[-4\tau^2+\tau^3k^2+4\tau^3\Sigma_k^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{76}^T &= \int dK\Big[-4\tau^2+2\tau^3k^2\Big],\\
\mathcal{Z}_{77}^T &= \int dK\Big[+2\tau^2\Sigma_k\Big],
\end{aligned}
\tag{C1}
$$

$$
\int dK \equiv N_c\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-\tau(k^2+\Sigma_k^2)}\int_{\frac{1}{\Lambda^2}}^{\infty}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}. \tag{C2}
$$

Appendix D: $\mathcal{Z}_n^T$ and K_n^T's relations

This appendix list relations between our coefficients, $\mathcal{Z}_n^T$, and those in Ref.[10], K_n^T. Some coefficients vanish, because of the new relations in Appendix B.

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_1^T &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_1^T-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{28}^T+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{29}^T+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{41}^T+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{42}^T+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{67}^T+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{68}^T\\
K_2^T &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_4^T+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{40}^T+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{43}^T+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{57}^T\\
K_3^T &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_3^T-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{28}^T+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{29}^T-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{39}^T+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{57}^T+\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{67}^T+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{68}^T\\
K_4^T &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_2^T+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{28}^T-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{29}^T+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{39}^T-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{40}^T-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{42}^T-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{57}^T-\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{67}^T-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{68}^T\\
K_5^T &= 0\\
K_6^T &= 0\\
K_7^T &= 0\\
K_8^T &= 0\\
K_9^T &= +\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{14}^T+\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{17}^T+\frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{18}^T+\frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{19}^T-\frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{31}^T\\
K_{10}^T &= +\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{21}^T-\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{22}^T+\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{23}^T-\frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{31}^T
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{11}^{T} &= +\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{16}^{T}-\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{18}^{T}+\frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{31}^{T}+\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{58}^{T}+\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{59}^{T}+\frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{71}^{T}+\frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{72}^{T}\\
K_{12}^{T} &= +\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{15}^{T}-\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{19}^{T}-\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{58}^{T}-\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{59}^{T}-\frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{71}^{T}-\frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{72}^{T}\\
K_{13}^{T} &= -\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{20}^{T}+\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{22}^{T}+\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{31}^{T}\\
K_{14}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{15}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{16}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{17}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{18}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{19}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{20}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{21}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{22}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{31}^{T} &= +\frac{1}{4B_0^3}\mathcal{Z}_{64}^{T}+\frac{1}{4B_0^3}\mathcal{Z}_{65}^{T}\\
K_{33}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{34}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{35}^{T} &= +\frac{1}{2N_fB_0^3}\mathcal{Z}_{77}^{T}\\
K_{36}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{37}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{38}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{39}^{T} &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{26}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{28}^{T}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{29}^{T}+\frac{1}{16B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{33}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{44}^{T}+\frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{61}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{66}^{T}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{67}^{T}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{68}^{T}\\
K_{40}^{T} &= +\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{26}^{T}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{28}^{T}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{29}^{T}+\frac{1}{16B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{34}^{T}-\frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{44}^{T}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{66}^{T}+\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{67}^{T}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{68}^{T}\\
K_{41}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{42}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{43}^{T} &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{10}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{45}^{T}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{50}^{T}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{51}^{T}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{54}^{T}+\frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{60}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{75}^{T}\\
K_{44}^{T} &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{13}^{T}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{45}^{T}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{50}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{76}^{T}\\
K_{45}^{T} &= -\frac{1}{8N_fB_0}\mathcal{Z}_{10}^{T}-\frac{1}{16N_fB_0}\mathcal{Z}_{13}^{T}-\frac{1}{4N_fB_0}\mathcal{Z}_{51}^{T}+\frac{1}{4N_fB_0}\mathcal{Z}_{54}^{T}\\
K_{46}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{47}^{T} &= -\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{7}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{9}^{T}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{49}^{T}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{50}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{52}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{53}^{T}\\
K_{48}^{T} &= -\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{6}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{8}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{50}^{T}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{51}^{T}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{52}^{T}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{53}^{T}\\
K_{49}^{T} &= -\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{11}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{12}^{T}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{49}^{T}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{50}^{T}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}_{51}^{T}\\
K_{50}^{T} &= 0\\
K_{51}^{T} &= -\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{31}^{T}+\frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{70}^{T}-\frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{72}^{T}+\frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{73}^{T}+\frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{74}^{T}\\
K_{52}^{T} &= +\frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{31}^{T}-\frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{69}^{T}+\frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{71}^{T}+\frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{72}^{T}-\frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}_{74}^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^T_{54} &= 0\\
K^T_{55} &= 0\\
K^T_{57} &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{27}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{41}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68}\\
K^T_{58} &= -\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{27}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{43}-\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68}\\
K^T_{59} &= -\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{27}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{40}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{57}-\frac{1}{2B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67}-\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68}\\
K^T_{60} &= -\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{28}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{29}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{39}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{57}+\frac{1}{2B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67}+\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68}\\
K^T_{61} &= +\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{27}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{28}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{29}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{42}\\
K^T_{62} &= 0\\
K^T_{63} &= 0\\
K^T_{64} &= 0\\
K^T_{65} &= 0\\
K^T_{66} &= 0\\
K^T_{67} &= 0\\
K^T_{68} &= 0\\
K^T_{69} &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{5}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{49}\\
K^T_{70} &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{6}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{8}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{50}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{51}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{52}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{53}\\
K^T_{71} &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{7}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{9}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{49}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{52}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{53}\\
K^T_{72} &= -\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{11}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{12}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{49}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{50}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{51}\\
K^T_{73} &= 0\\
K^T_{74} &= -\frac{1}{16B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{55}+\frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{61}\\
K^T_{75} &= -\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{54}+\frac{1}{16B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{55}+\frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{60}\\
K^T_{76} &= +\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{26}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{27}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{35}+\frac{1}{16B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{55}-\frac{1}{16B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{56}-\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{66}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68}\\
K^T_{77} &= 0\\
K^T_{78} &= 0\\
K^T_{79} &= 0\\
K^T_{80} &= +\frac{1}{4N_fB_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{54}\\
K^T_{82} &= 0\\
K^T_{84} &= -\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{27}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{28}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{29}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{36}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{37}+\frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{38}-\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67}-\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68}\\
K^T_{85} &= +\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{57}+\frac{1}{B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67}+\frac{1}{2B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68}\\
K^T_{86} &= -\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{52}+\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{53}\\
K^T_{87} &= 0\\
K^T_{88} &= +\frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{62}+\frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{63}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K^T_{90} &= -\frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{31} - \frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{58} - \frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{59} - \frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{71} - \frac{1}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{72} \\
K^T_{92} &= 0 \\
K^T_{94} &= -\frac{1}{2B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{66} + \frac{1}{B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67} + \frac{1}{2B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68} \\
K^T_{95} &= +\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{28} \\
K^T_{96} &= +\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{24} + \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{25} + \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{66} \\
K^T_{97} &= +\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{24} - \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{25} - \frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{27} + \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{66} - \frac{1}{2B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67} - \frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68} \\
K^T_{98} &= +\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{49} \\
K^T_{99} &= +\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{51} \\
K^T_{100} &= -\frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{50} \\
K^T_{101} &= 0 \\
K^T_{102} &= 0 \\
K^T_{103} &= 0 \\
K^T_{105} &= -\frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{30} + \frac{1}{2B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{31} \\
K^T_{106} &= 0 \\
K^T_{107} &= 0 \\
K^T_{108} &= 0 \\
K^T_{112} &= -\frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{45} \\
K^T_{113} &= +\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{26} - \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{28} + \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{29} - \frac{1}{8B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{44} - \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{66} + \frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67} + \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68} \\
K^T_{114} &= +\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{28} - \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{29} + \frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{36} + \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{37} + \frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{38} - \frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67} - \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68} \\
K^T_{115} &= +\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{27} + \frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{36} - \frac{1}{16B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{38} + \frac{1}{4B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{67} + \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{68} \\
K^T_{116} &= +\frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{52} + \frac{1}{8B_0}\mathcal{Z}^T_{53} \\
K^T_{117} &= 0 \\
K^T_{118} &= -\frac{2}{B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{31} \\
K^T_{119} &= +\frac{4}{3B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{31} + \frac{2}{3B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{32} - \frac{4}{3B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{46} - \frac{4}{3B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{47} + \frac{2}{3B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{48} + \frac{4}{3B_0^3}\mathcal{Z}^T_{77} \\
K^T_{120} &= +\frac{1}{4B_0^2}\mathcal{Z}^T_{55}
\end{aligned} \tag{D1}$$

Appendix E: $\widetilde{K}^W_{t,n}$ coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_1 &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{1}{9}\Sigma'_k X^2 + \frac{1}{8}\Sigma_k \Sigma'^2_k X^2 + \frac{1}{36}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{1}{9}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma'_k X^3 - \frac{19}{24}\Sigma_k^3 \Sigma'^2_k X^3 - \frac{5}{6}\Sigma_k^3 X^4 + \frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^5 \Sigma'^2_k X^4 \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{2}\Sigma_k^5 X^5 - \frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^7 \Sigma'^2_k X^5 \Big],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_2^{T,W} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\frac{1}{18}\Sigma_k^{\prime 3} X - \frac{43}{72}\Sigma_k^{\prime} X^2 - \frac{1}{6}\Sigma_k \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 + \frac{1}{9}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime 3} X^2 + \frac{55}{72}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{37}{72}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime} X^3 + 3\Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{18}\Sigma_k^4 \Sigma_k^{\prime 3} X^3 + \frac{5}{24}\Sigma_k^3 X^4 - \frac{11}{2}\Sigma_k^5 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 + \frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^7 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^5 \Big],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_3^{T,W} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\frac{1}{18}\Sigma_k^{\prime 3} X - \frac{11}{72}\Sigma_k^{\prime} X^2 + \frac{1}{12}\Sigma_k \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 + \frac{1}{9}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime 3} X^2 + \frac{11}{72}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{5}{72}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime} X^3 + \frac{17}{12}\Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{18}\Sigma_k^4 \Sigma_k^{\prime 3} X^3 - \frac{11}{24}\Sigma_k^3 X^4 - \frac{17}{6}\Sigma_k^5 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 + \Sigma_k^5 X^5 + \frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^7 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^5 \Big],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}_4^{T,W} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[+\frac{1}{18}\Sigma_k^{\prime 3} X + \frac{5}{12}\Sigma_k^{\prime} X^2 - \frac{1}{12}\Sigma_k \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 - \frac{1}{9}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime 3} X^2 - \frac{5}{12}\Sigma_k X^3 - \frac{1}{3}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime} X^3 - \frac{17}{12}\Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{18}\Sigma_k^4 \Sigma_k^{\prime 3} X^3 + \frac{1}{12}\Sigma_k^3 X^4 + \frac{17}{6}\Sigma_k^5 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 - \frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^5 X^5 - \frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^7 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^5 \Big],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\widetilde{K}_5^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 - \frac{11}{6}\Sigma_k^2 X^3 + \frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^4 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 + \frac{17}{6}\Sigma_k^4 X^4 - \frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^6 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_6^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 - \frac{1}{3}\Sigma_k^2 X^3 + \frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^4 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 + \frac{5}{6}\Sigma_k^4 X^4 - \frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^6 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_7^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[+\frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 - \frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^2 X^3 - \frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^4 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 + \frac{13}{3}\Sigma_k^4 X^4 + \frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^6 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_8^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[+\frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 + \frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^2 X^3 - \frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^4 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 - \frac{11}{3}\Sigma_k^4 X^4 + \frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^6 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_9^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[+\frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 + \frac{5}{3}\Sigma_k^2 X^3 - \frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^4 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 - \frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^4 X^4 + \frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^6 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{10}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\Sigma_k X^2 + 2\Sigma_k^3 X^3 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{11}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[+\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k X^2 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{12}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[+\frac{3}{8}\Sigma_k^2 X^3 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{13}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\frac{3}{8}\Sigma_k^2 X^3 + \Sigma_k^4 X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{14}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^2 X^3 + \Sigma_k^4 X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{15}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[+\frac{3}{8}\Sigma_k^2 X^3 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{16}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^2 X^3 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{17}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\frac{7}{72}\Sigma_k^{\prime} X^2 + \frac{1}{3}\Sigma_k \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 + \frac{7}{72}\Sigma_k X^3 - \frac{11}{72}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime} X^3 - \frac{1}{6}\Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 + \frac{17}{24}\Sigma_k^3 X^4 - \frac{1}{6}\Sigma_k^5 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{18}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[+\frac{25}{144}\Sigma_k^{\prime} X^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 - \frac{25}{144}\Sigma_k X^3 - \frac{7}{144}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime} X^3 + \frac{3}{4}\Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 - \frac{23}{48}\Sigma_k^3 X^4 - \frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^5 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{19}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[+\frac{1}{36}\Sigma_k^{\prime} X^2 - \frac{1}{3}\Sigma_k \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 - \frac{1}{36}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{7}{72}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime} X^3 + \frac{5}{12}\Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 - \frac{5}{12}\Sigma_k^3 X^4 - \frac{1}{12}\Sigma_k^5 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{20}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\frac{1}{8}\Sigma_k^{\prime} X^2 + \frac{5}{12}\Sigma_k \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 + \frac{1}{8}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{1}{8}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime} X^3 - \frac{5}{6}\Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 + \frac{1}{8}\Sigma_k^3 X^4 + \frac{5}{12}\Sigma_k^5 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 \Big],$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{21}^{T,W} = N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \Big[-\frac{17}{72}\Sigma_k^{\prime} X^2 + \frac{5}{12}\Sigma_k \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^2 + \frac{17}{72}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{1}{9}\Sigma_k^2 \Sigma_k^{\prime} X^3 - \frac{7}{12}\Sigma_k^3 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^3 + \frac{7}{24}\Sigma_k^3 X^4 + \frac{1}{6}\Sigma_k^5 \Sigma_k^{\prime 2} X^4 \Big],$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{22} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\Sigma'^2_k X + 5\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 - 8\Sigma^4_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 - \Sigma^4_k X^4 + 4\Sigma^6_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{23} &= 0,\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{24} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{7}{144}\Sigma'_k X^2 + \frac{3}{8}\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 - \frac{29}{144}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{7}{144}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 - \frac{47}{24}\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 + \frac{3}{16}\Sigma^3_k X^4 + \frac{35}{12}\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{3}\Sigma^5_k X^5 - \frac{4}{3}\Sigma^7_k\Sigma'^2_k X^5 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{25} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{1}{24}\Sigma'_k X^2 - \frac{3}{4}\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 + \frac{1}{8}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{1}{24}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 + \frac{47}{12}\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 + \frac{11}{24}\Sigma^3_k X^4 - \frac{35}{6}\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4\\
&\quad -\frac{2}{3}\Sigma^5_k X^5 + \frac{8}{3}\Sigma^7_k\Sigma'^2_k X^5 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{26} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{1}{9}\Sigma'^3_k X + \frac{49}{72}\Sigma'_k X^2 - \frac{5}{6}\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 + \frac{2}{9}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'^3_k X^2 - \frac{43}{72}\Sigma_k X^3 - \frac{43}{72}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 + \frac{5}{3}\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{9}\Sigma^4_k\Sigma'^3_k X^3 - \frac{5}{24}\Sigma^3_k X^4 - \frac{5}{6}\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{27} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{1}{9}\Sigma'^3_k X - \frac{5}{18}\Sigma'_k X^2 + \frac{1}{3}\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 - \frac{2}{9}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'^3_k X^2 + \frac{7}{36}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{7}{36}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 + \frac{2}{3}\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{9}\Sigma^4_k\Sigma'^3_k X^3 + \frac{7}{12}\Sigma^3_k X^4 - \frac{7}{3}\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4 - \frac{1}{3}\Sigma^5_k X^5 + \frac{4}{3}\Sigma^7_k\Sigma'^2_k X^5 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{28} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{1}{8}\Sigma'_k X^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 + \frac{5}{24}\Sigma_k X^3 - \frac{1}{8}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 - \frac{7}{3}\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 - \frac{19}{24}\Sigma^3_k X^4 + \frac{19}{6}\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{3}\Sigma^5_k X^5 - \frac{4}{3}\Sigma^7_k\Sigma'^2_k X^5 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{29} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{1}{72}\Sigma'_k X^2 - \frac{11}{36}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{1}{9}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 - \frac{1}{4}\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 + \frac{1}{6}\Sigma^3_k X^4 + \frac{1}{4}\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{30} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{37}{72}\Sigma'_k X^2 - \frac{3}{4}\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 - \frac{13}{72}\Sigma_k X^3 - \frac{55}{72}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 + 2\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 + \frac{1}{24}\Sigma^3_k X^4 - \frac{5}{4}\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{31} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{3}{8}\Sigma'_k X^2 + \frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 + \frac{5}{24}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{1}{4}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 - \frac{13}{12}\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 + \frac{3}{8}\Sigma^3_k X^4 + \frac{5}{12}\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{32} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{5}{36}\Sigma'_k X^2 + \frac{5}{12}\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 - \frac{13}{36}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{19}{72}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 - \frac{13}{12}\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 + \frac{7}{12}\Sigma^3_k X^4 + \frac{2}{3}\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{33} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{1}{8}\Sigma^2_k X^3 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{34} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{1}{8}\Sigma^2_k X^3 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{35} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{1}{8}\Sigma^2_k X^3 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{36} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{1}{36}\Sigma'_k X^2 + \frac{1}{12}\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 + \frac{11}{72}\Sigma_k X^3 - \frac{7}{72}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 + \frac{1}{12}\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 + \frac{1}{24}\Sigma^3_k X^4 - \frac{1}{6}\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{37} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{4}{3}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 + \frac{5}{6}\Sigma^2_k X^3 - \frac{8}{3}\Sigma^4_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 - \frac{1}{3}\Sigma^4_k X^4 + \frac{4}{3}\Sigma^6_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{38} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{1}{3}\Sigma'_k X^2 - \frac{5}{2}\Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 + \frac{1}{6}\Sigma_k X^3 - \frac{1}{3}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 + \frac{25}{2}\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3 + \Sigma^3_k X^4 - 18\Sigma^5_k\Sigma'^2_k X^4\\
&\quad -2\Sigma^5_k X^5 + 8\Sigma^7_k\Sigma'^2_k X^5 \Big],\\
\widetilde{K}^{T,W}_{39} &= N_C \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{2}{3}\Sigma'^3_k X - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma'_k X^2 + \Sigma_k\Sigma'^2_k X^2 - \frac{4}{3}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'^3_k X^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k X^3 + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^2_k\Sigma'_k X^3 - 3\Sigma^3_k\Sigma'^2_k X^3
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad +\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X^3-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k^3X^4+2\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{40}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{23}{36}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2-\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2-\frac{5}{36}\Sigma_kX^3-\frac{41}{36}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3+\frac{7}{3}\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3+\frac{5}{12}\Sigma_k^3X^4-\frac{5}{3}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{41}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{20}{3}\Sigma_k^3X^3\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{42}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-2\Sigma_k^2X^3\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{43}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{8}{9}\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X+\frac{8}{9}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2-\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2-\frac{16}{9}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X^2-\frac{5}{9}\Sigma_kX^3-\frac{5}{9}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3-\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3\\
&\quad +\frac{8}{9}\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X^3-\frac{1}{3}\Sigma_k^3X^4+\frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{44}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{2}{9}\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X+\frac{7}{24}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2-\frac{7}{6}\Sigma_k\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2-\frac{4}{9}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X^2-\frac{7}{24}\Sigma_kX^3-\frac{5}{24}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3+4\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3\\
&\quad +\frac{2}{9}\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X^3+\frac{5}{24}\Sigma_k^3X^4-\frac{11}{2}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4-\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^5X^5+\frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^7\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^5\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{45}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{5}{24}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2+\frac{1}{12}\Sigma_k\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2-\frac{3}{8}\Sigma_kX^3-\frac{5}{24}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3-\frac{5}{4}\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3-\frac{1}{24}\Sigma_k^3X^4+\frac{5}{2}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{3}\Sigma_k^5X^5-\frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^7\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^5\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{46}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{37}{72}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2-\frac{17}{12}\Sigma_k\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2-\frac{25}{72}\Sigma_kX^3-\frac{37}{72}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3+\frac{79}{12}\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3+\frac{13}{24}\Sigma_k^3X^4-\frac{55}{6}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4\\
&\quad -\Sigma_k^5X^5+4\Sigma_k^7\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^5\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{47}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{2}{9}\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X+\frac{7}{18}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2+\frac{1}{6}\Sigma_k\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2-\frac{4}{9}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X^2-\frac{17}{36}\Sigma_kX^3-\frac{11}{36}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3-\frac{13}{6}\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3\\
&\quad +\frac{2}{9}\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X^3-\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^3X^4+\frac{10}{3}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4+\frac{1}{3}\Sigma_k^5X^5-\frac{4}{3}\Sigma_k^7\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^5\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{48}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{2}{9}\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X+\frac{11}{72}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2+\frac{13}{6}\Sigma_k\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2-\frac{4}{9}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X^2-\frac{47}{72}\Sigma_kX^3-\frac{5}{72}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3-\frac{37}{3}\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3\\
&\quad +\frac{2}{9}\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k^{\prime 3}X^3-\frac{25}{24}\Sigma_k^3X^4+\frac{109}{6}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4+2\Sigma_k^5X^5-8\Sigma_k^7\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^5\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{49}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{1}{12}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2+\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_kX^3-\frac{7}{12}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3+\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3+\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^3X^4-\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{50}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{5}{12}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2-\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_kX^3-\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3+\frac{3}{2}\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3+\frac{1}{4}\Sigma_k^3X^4-\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{51}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{1}{36}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2+\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2+\frac{23}{36}\Sigma_kX^3-\frac{19}{36}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3-\frac{1}{3}\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3+\frac{1}{12}\Sigma_k^3X^4-\frac{1}{3}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{52}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2+\frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^2X^3-\frac{16}{3}\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3-\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^4X^4+\frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{53}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2-\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^2X^3+\frac{16}{3}\Sigma_k^4\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3+\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^4X^4-\frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^6\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{54}^{T,W} &= 0,\\
\widetilde{K}_{55}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[+\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k^2X^3\Big],\\
\widetilde{K}_{56}^{T,W} &= N_C\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[-\frac{1}{18}\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^2-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_k\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^2+\frac{2}{9}\Sigma_kX^3+\frac{1}{18}\Sigma_k^2\Sigma_k^{\prime}X^3+\frac{19}{6}\Sigma_k^3\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^3+\frac{1}{6}\Sigma_k^3X^4-\frac{16}{3}\Sigma_k^5\Sigma_k^{\prime 2}X^4
\end{aligned}
$$

$$-\frac{2}{3}\Sigma_k^5 X^5+\frac{8}{3}\Sigma_k^7\Sigma_k'^2 X^5\bigg], \tag{E1}$$

$$X \equiv \frac{1}{k^2+\Sigma_k^2}. \tag{E2}$$

---

[1] S. Weinberg, Physica A **96**, 327 (1979).
[2] J.Gasser and H.Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. **158**, 142 (1984).
[3] J.Gasser and H.Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 465 (1985).
[4] H. W. Fearing and S. Scherer, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 315 (1996).
[5] J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo, and G. Ecker, JHEP **02**, 020 (1999).
[6] C. Haefeli, M. A. Ivanov, M. Schmid, and G. Ecker, arXiv:0705.0576[hep-ph].
[7] T. Ebertshäuser, H. Fearing, and S. Scherer, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 054033 (2002).
[8] J. Bijnens, L. Girlanda, and P. Talavera, Eur. Phys. J. C **23**, 539 (2002).
[9] J. Bijnens, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **58**, 521 (2007).
[10] O. catà and V. Mateu, JHEP **09**, 078 (2007).
[11] G. Eckera and C. Zauner, Eur. Phys. J. C **52**, 315 (2007).
[12] V. Mateu and J. Portolés, Eur. Phys. J. C **52**, 325 (2007).
[13] O. catà and V. Mateu, Nucl. Phys. B **831**, 204 (2010).
[14] G. Ecker, Acta Phys.Polon. B **38**, 2753 (2007).
[15] H. Yang, Q. Wang, Y-P.Kuang, and Q. Lu, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 014019 (2002).
[16] Y.-L. Ma and Q. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **560**, 188 (2003).
[17] S.-Z. Jiang, Y. Zhang, C. Li, and Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 014001 (2010).
[18] S.-Z. Jiang and Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 094937 (2010).
[19] R. Ball, Phys. Rep. **182**, 1 (1988).
[20] Q. Wang, Y.-P. Kuang, X.-L. Wang, and M. Xiao, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 054011 (2000).
[21] Q. Lu, H. Yang, and Q. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **38**, 185 (2002).
[22] Q. Lu, Y. Zhang, and Q. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **49**, 431 (2008).
[23] K. I. Aoki, M. Bando, T. Kugo, M. G. Mitchard, and H. Nakatani, Prog. Theor. Phys. **84**, 683 (1990).